

# SUPERINTENDENT'S PROPOSED FY2025 BUDGET 

Cliff Chuang, Superintendent
Dr. Tricia Clifford, Assistant Superintendent Julie Kirrane, Director of Finance

Bedford Public Schools December 19, 2023

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION ..... 1
Budget Guideline ..... 2
Setting the Stage for the Next 3-Year District Improvement Plan ..... 2
Special Consideration: Emergency Shelter .....  3
Recommendation for a Special Education Stabilization Fund .....  3
FY25 PROPOSED BUDGET OVERVIEW ..... 4
FY25 Maintenance of Effort / Level Services Budget. ..... 6
Community Expectations ..... 7
Budget Development Process ..... 7
Operating Efficiencies .....  8
Non-Operating Budget Funds .....  8
Enrollment Basis for the FY25 School Budget ..... 9
Class Sizes ..... 13
FY25 MOE / LEVEL SERVICES BUDGET BY CATEGORY ..... 14
Salaries / Personnel Costs ..... 14
Operating Expenses ..... 14
Special Education: Out-of-District Tuition ..... 15
Special Education: In-House Programs ..... 17
Regular Transportation ..... 18
Special Education Transportation ..... 18
FY25 PROPOSED NET ADDITIONAL FUNDING TO ADDRESS IDENTIFIED CHALLENGES ..... 20
New Literacy Curriculum ..... 21
Instructional Data Specialist and Dashboard System ..... 21
K-5 Coverage Model Improvement ..... 21
Supporting Students with Intensive Needs ..... 22
District Improvement Plan Initial Implementation ..... 23
Cafeteria Waste Sort Program ..... 24
Efficiencies, enrollment driven reductions, and proposals not brought forward ..... 24
FINANCIAL DETAILS ..... 25
FY25 Budget Summary by Major Expense Category ..... 25
FY25 Budget by Responsibility Center (with Offsets) ..... 27
FY25 Budget Summary by Education Function ..... 28
FY25 Budget Detail by Responsibility Center and Account (Line Item Budget) ..... 29
FY24 Budgeted and FY25 Proposal FTE Summary ..... 34
FY24 Budgeted and FY25 Proposed FTE Detail by Education Function ..... 35
FY24 and FY25 Budgetary Offsets ..... 36
Special Revenue Funds / Revolving Fund History and Balances ..... 37
FY25 Operating Expense DetailFY25 District Wide Information Management and TechnologyFY25 Instructional Technology
FY24 Athletics Expenditure Detail
SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS ..... 39
School Budget History ..... 39
FY25 (2024-25) Enrollment Projection by Grade and by School ..... 41
NESDEC Enrollment Report ..... 42
September 2023 Bedford Regular Bus Ridership ..... 56
Special Education In-House Program Information by School
Student Demographics and Special Populations

## SUPERINTENDENT'S FY2025 BUDGET PROPOSAL

## "You don't have to see the whole staircase, just take the first step." <br> - Martin Luther King, Jr.



## Introduction

As has been the case during the past three years, our students and families continue to require additional resources and support as we contend with the ongoing impacts of interrupted learning and socialization due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Our dedicated faculty and staff are working extremely hard to help our students to achieve academically while maintaining our commitment to socialemotional learning and creating a fully inclusive learning environment where every student belongs.

The Superintendent's FY25 proposed budget is designed to maintain this momentum in student growth into the 2024-2025 school year, with the following objectives:

- uphold the high standard of excellence and inclusive access to educational opportunities that the community continues to highly value;
- maintain and strategically enhance educational services to meet the needs our diverse student body;
- position the district to attract and retain a high quality educator workforce; and
- set the stage for the initial steps in our next 3-year district improvement plan for the Bedford Public Schools which will be established through a collaborative strategic planning process in the spring and summer of 2024.


## Budget Guideline

In September of 2023, the Bedford Finance Committee set a FY25 budget guideline of a $3.5 \%$ total budget increase of FY24 spending levels, which translates into an increase of $\$ 1,635,816$ and a total FY25 budget of $\$ 48,373,404$. The district understands the significance of this guideline which is a reflection of the careful financial stewardship and focus on long-term financial sustainability and health by Bedford's town leaders.

The Superintendent's FY25 Budget Proposal, however, exceeds this guideline and recommends an increase of $5.95 \%$, and $\$ 2,782,908$. This proposal has been carefully constructed and is proposed at the lowest level of support that can balance our commitment to sustainable budgeting and ensuring that we are able to meet current and projected student needs. Communities and school districts are currently facing a unique set of circumstances:

- In Bedford and beyond, student academic growth and performance show gaps;
- student needs are documented to be increasing in volume and complexity;
- the realities of the staffing shortages facing public education;
- continued inflationary cost pressures across almost all spending categories.

We have also carefully evaluated each proposed new initiative and cost to the maximum extent possible, distinguishing between one-time and ongoing expenses. In particular, we continue to assess the nature of the expenses related to the recently announced shift in our elementary literacy curriculum which include both up-front, one-time materials costs and ongoing professional development and consumables. We anticipate that we may need to consider requesting a one-time reserve fund transfer (either in FY24 or FY25) to cover these one-time costs which are not required to be permanently added to the budget base.

## Setting the Stage for the Next 3-Year District Improvement Plan

The 2023-2024 school year marks the conclusion of the Bedford Public Schools District Improvement Plan 2021-2024. The district has made significant progress and advances in the three strategic goal areas of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion; Student-Centered Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment; and Social-Emotional Learning. Highlights include:

- establishment of formal curriculum review processes (which includes a focus on cultural responsiveness and adherence to state standards);
- successful systematization of formative assessment assessments which support the implementation of an aligned multi-tiered system of support (MTSS);
- near-completion of the BPS Literacy Plan; (with a tier 1 phase expected to be continued into the next district improvement plan);
- initial shift to restorative discipline practices;
- and the creation of formal structures at each grade level to support the development of socialemotional learning skills.

The Superintendent's FY25 Budget Proposal sets the stage for the next 3-year district improvement plan, which will be developed collaboratively with stakeholders in the spring and summer of 2024, following the report out of the Superintendent's Entry Findings to the community (planned for February 2024). Though the specifics are yet to be determined, the expectation is that the new plan will continue to uphold our district's vision, mission, core values, and beliefs as well as our recently adopted Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Mission Statement. The FY25 budget will support critical
staffing needs and other services in alignment with these values and beliefs, as highlighted in the body of the proposal.

## Special Consideration: Emergency Shelter

This year has brought another unanticipated emergency on the heels of the pandemic with a new and complicated set of fiscal implications due to the state's decision to open an emergency homeless shelter at the Bedford Plaza Hotel in August 2023. We are currently receiving additional state and federal funding to cover the emergent needs of these students and families, and these additional expenses are budgeted separately from the FY25 operating budget proposal. We anticipate that additional state funds will continue to be available next year if we continue to host a shelter site, and residency there (and school enrollment) has been stable to-date. It is impossible to predict with any certainty at this time when, how and where homeless hotel residents will be able to find permanent housing. We will continue to closely assess these needs as more information becomes available.

## Recommendation for a Special Education Stabilization Fund

Additionally, as indicated in prior school committee meetings this fall, due to continued volatility and escalation of special education costs, the district is proposing that the town establish a Special Educational Stabilization Fund During as part of the FY25 budget process, as permitted by the part of the Municipal Modernization Act of 2016. ${ }^{1}$ We believe that this would be a prudent and effective measure that would support Bedford's efforts to manage the growth of the school operating budget while supporting teachers and teaching assistants who deliver IEP services and interventions to help students access grade level curriculum, specialized transportation services when required and other educational programming required by students with significant disabilities.

Based on feedback previously received from town officials, the School Committee, and the Finance Committee, we propose to bring a recommended policy for how the fund would be managed for the School Committee's consideration while budget discussions are ongoing in January 2024. The School Committee has also been deliberating about a policy that would guide reserves within the state special education Circuit Breaker reimbursement program. These reserves are not duplicative. A locally controlled reserve that could provide relief for global special education expenses would be a complementary resource to circuit breaker which provides relief based on extraordinary expenditures tied to individual students. The goal would be to approve a policy on both funds and the request to establish the new stabilization fund alongside or shortly after the FY25 budget is approved.

[^0]
## FY25 PROPOSED BUDGET OVERVIEW

In 2024-25, the Bedford Public Schools are projected to serve approximately 2,598 students in an integrated preschool, two elementary schools, middle school, high school, and students in out of district special education schools. The FY25 Superintendent's proposed budget is $\$ 49,520,495$ and includes a $\$ 2,782,908$ increase, $5.95 \%$ over the FY24 budget of $\$ 46,737,588$. Salaries make up $82 \%$ and operating expenses total $18 \%$ in this proposed budget.

The maintenance of effort / level-services budget increases by $4.72 \%$ and $\$ 2,205,846$ and an additional allocation is requested of $1.23 \%$ and $\$ 577,062$. The total request represents a $5.95 \%$ increase in funding of $\$ 2,782,908$ which exceeds the Finance Committee's $3.5 \%$ budget guideline.

| FY24 | FY25 Maintenance of Effort |  |  | Additional Needs |  | FY25 Total Budget Request |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted <br> Budget | FY25 MOE | \$ Change | $\%$ <br> Change | FY25 <br> Additional | $\%$ <br> Change | FY25 Total | \$ Change | | $\%$ |
| :---: |
| Change |

Outside of the FY25 operating budget, the district is requesting:

- A one-time town reserve fund transfer for the one-time start-up costs of the new elementary literacy curriculum of approximately $\$ 210,000$ (timing to-be-determined).
- The establishment of a special education stabilization fund of $\$ 950,000$ which can be accessed over multiple years. This fund could be used in lieu of a reserve fund transfer to cover the already-anticipated $\$ 150,000$ of out-of-district special education tuition which was included in the FY24 budget, and is also included in the FY25 request.
- Note: FY25 capital funding requests have been requested through the town's capital expenditure process separately.

In addition, for a full picture of education funding in Bedford, state and federal grants continue to support school programs to a similar degree as in FY24. Use of special revenue funds to support the school budget are substantially increased in the FY25 budget proposal. The use of non-operating expenses to support school operations impacts the level of funding carried in the operating budget as listed below:

- Grant support for salaries of $\$ 609,976$ (level with FY24) for special education paraprofessionals and Title 1 math
- Revolving Funds support of $\$ 287,000$ (increased from FY24) for athletics, custodial salaries and facilities over-time
- State grants for salaries (level with FY24) of \$516,000 (Hanscom military mitigation) and \$30,000 (METCO teacher credit)
- Circuit Breaker funds (increased from FY24) of \$2,695,246

Finally, a request to establish a local Special Education Stabilization Fund is included as part of the FY25 school budget request. The FY25 operating budget is not explicitly reliant upon these funds except for the above-listed out-of-district tuition reserve request which is embedded as a budget offset continuing from FY24. The School Committee and Superintendent of Schools will have developed
policy governing use of potential stabilization funds in advance of any future request, and in conjunction with town leaders and governing bodies. In addition, any potential need for such funds will be identified as early as is feasible given the volatile nature of such expenses.

The Superintendent's FY25 budget provides funding for the district to maintain level services and preserve critical resources that support teaching and learning in the Bedford Public Schools. The budget includes the strategic investments that are in place to advance the District and School Improvement Plan goals and objectives. The spending plan outlined in this budget proposal will make a difference for all of our students by comprehensively supporting high-quality and impactful teaching and learning in Bedford.

The additional needs outlined in the budget proposal represent $1.2 \%$ of the request and \$577,062. These additional resources primarily support a new research-based elementary literacy curriculum based on the science of reading as the district ends its use of Units of Study (Lucy Calkins), the addition of an Instructional Data Specialist and a dashboard system, a strengthened elementary coverage model, additional support for students with intensive needs, initial investments for the upcoming three-year district improvement plan, and the expansion of a waste sort program in school cafeterias.

New salary requests represent the addition of 7.4 FTE and the reduction of -6.0 FTE for a net change of 1.4 FTE, and new salary expense of $\$ 132,062$. Additional non-salary requests represent $\$ 445,000$ of the above total for additional needs. These requests include, in order of magnitude, the new elementary literacy curriculum implementation, intensive needs contracted services support for students, support for the upcoming district improvement plan, a new instructional data dashboard system. A description of the current challenges addressed by these requests and the rationale for the proposed solutions are detailed in the budget narrative that follows.

## FY25 Maintenance of Effort / Level Services Budget

In 2024-25, the Bedford Public School district is projected to serve 2,552 students in preschool to grade twelve versus the 2,559 students enrolled in the current year. This is a decrease in overall enrollment of approximately -7 students, and less than $0.3 \%$.


The most significant ongoing investment by the Bedford Public Schools is in the people-teachers, instructional assistants, school and district leaders, administrative assistants, facilities staff, and information technology support staff-who are essential to the district's teaching, learning and educational infrastructure. Salaries makeup $\$ 40,321,241$ of the FY25 maintenance of effort (MOE) / level services budget and represent an increase year-over-year from FY24 of 4.6\%. Operating expenses total $\$ 8,622,193$, a $5.3 \%$ increase above FY24.

| BY SALARY AND NON-SALARY | FY24 | FY25 Maintenance of Effort |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adjusted Budget | FY25 MOE | \$ Change | \% Change |
| SALARIES | \$ 38,545,920 | \$ 40,321,241 | \$1,775,321 | 4.6\% |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | \$ 8,191,668 | \$ 8,622,193 | \$ 430,525 | 5.3\% |
| TOTAL | \$ 46,737,588 | \$ 48,943,434 | \$2,205,846 | 4.7\% |

All individual salary and non-salary budget line items were reviewed in the process of determining the resources required in the FY24 Maintenance of Effort (MOE). By definition, the MOE budget is a level services budget adjusted for known cost increases and enrollment changes. Also note that enrollment-related staffing changes are shown as "new" in the budget documents for clarity and transparency. The net of full-time equivalent positions associated with FY24 Budget is 1.4 FTE. There are -6.0 FTE positions reduced in the MOE budget offset by a request for 7.4 FTE to be added.

The FY25 operating expenses are increasing at a higher rate of $5.3 \%$ due primarily to external conditions. All operating expense line items for goods and services are adjusted for increases in contractual costs, cost escalation, and other changes. Cost escalation due to inflation was a significant factor in the FY24 budget proposal, with some line items adjusted for inflation in amounts ranging from $5 \%$ to $14 \%$. The FY25 budget proposal does not adjust for inflation to the same degree. Most line items budgets are level-funded except when accounting for known cost increases. Note that the new bus bid has been received (and preliminary analysis is complete at this time) and increases have been incorporated in the proposal. The district anticipates a contract award to be made in early January.

## Community Expectations

Our FY25 Budget proposal is designed to provide the resources needed for our educators to continue meeting the community learning expectations for the children of Bedford. These expectations embody the values of everyone associated with the Bedford Public Schools. These expectations include:

- High performing schools that nurture intellectual curiosity, creative expression, independent thinking, and skillful problem solving rooted in a rich array of academic knowledge
- A supportive, safe and caring school culture that promotes an appreciation of diversity
- Intellectually engaging, rigorous, and challenging learning experiences for all students
- A comprehensive, well-articulated curriculum
- Robust music, arts, wellness and STEM courses for all students
- Ample athletic and co-curricular opportunities for all students
- College and Career Readiness for all students
- A commitment to educational equity, inclusion and the closing of achievement gaps
- Measurable and clearly identified learning outcomes
- Cost-conscious planning that respects the community's fiscal conditions
- Attracting and retaining a high quality educator workforce
- Transparent and authentic community engagement


## Budget Development Process

The Bedford Public Schools budget development process includes the following steps:

1. Full cost projection of all staffing costs evaluated by individual position, step and lane, and contractual salary scales including stipends and longevity, less savings anticipated due to staff turnover, retirements, and attrition;
2. Review by district and school leaders of all accounts which are adjusted to support ongoing programmatic needs and enrollment changes. Allowances for cost escalation and for known contract price increases are made;
3. Larger expense allocations are supported by detailed cost data on the actual costs of goods and services;
4. Consideration of budget proposals for initiatives that enhance teaching and learning;
5. Development of budget priorities;
6. Evaluation of opportunities for administrative efficiencies;
7. Final alignment of resources to support the District Improvement Plan and student needs.

Because there remain areas of the budget that increase at higher rates, and at levels above the Town's historical 3.5\% guideline, seeking efficiencies is a normal part of the budget process. As is typically the case, when some areas of the budget increase beyond the historical $3.5 \%$ guideline, it is necessary to closely review all spending to determine whether any savings or efficiencies are possible and to target those changes to areas that impact students the least. All major expense categories are increasing the rates higher than the 3.5\% guideline in FY24:

- Salaries - 4.6\%
- Out-of-District Special Education (gross costs) - 8.6\%
- Operating Expenses - 5.3\%
- Regular Transportation - 8.6\%
- Special Education Transportation - 9.1\%

The final stage of the budget development process is to ensure that the allocation of resources and their alignment with district improvement goals is prioritized. This ensures that outcomes for students remain at the forefront of budget decisions.

## Operating Efficiencies

A number of efficiencies have been accomplished since FY21. The implementation of these efficiencies has been done in conjunction with retirements and other transfers within the district in recent years and accomplished on a voluntary basis. In the past four years, the district has pursued and successfully implemented efficiencies and administrative reorganization that has included 7.6 FTE para-professional and 2.0 FTE Professional positions, not including the 9.0 FTE professional teacher positions that have been eliminated due to enrollment.

## Non-Operating Budget Funds

Concurrently, non-operating budget funds that support the Bedford Public Schools are evaluated in the development of the annual budget. This ensures that resources are used strategically and sustainably. These grants, special revenue, and revolving account revenues critically support Bedford Public Schools.

- Grants: A review of all grants and special revenue funds available to offset operating budget costs is completed. Grant accounts in the FY25 year are expected to be funded without major changes to Bedford's allocation. The district's grants in FY25 include the federal through state education allocation grants including ESSA Title I (Targeted academic support), Title II (HighQuality Teaching), Title III (English Learners), and Title IV (Educator Improvement), Special Education IDEA, and Early Childhood IDEA, and METCO.
- Special Revenue: Special Education Circuit Breaker and State Impact Aid are the district's largest sources of support other than local Town of Bedford revenue. Circuit Breaker is available to support the district operating budget at increased levels in FY25 (see the section of Out-of-district Tuition for details). State Public School Military Mitigation Impact Aid will provide a $\$ 516,000$ offset to high school instruction as has been consistent in the operating budget for a number of years.
- Revolving Accounts (which offset the operating budget): The main revolving accounts used by the schools include: School Rentals, Preschool, and Athletics. Revenues generated by all three of these accounts are available to offset the operating budget. The School Committee has established guidelines for reserves to be maintained in these accounts. These funds, expected to continue to recover in FY25 after several years of lower receipts during the pandemic, are available to be used to offset costs in the FY25 budget.
- School Nutrition Revolving Account: The school nutrition program revenue and expenses are also accounted for in a self-contained school-revolving account. The lunch program is projected to cover all of its costs in FY25 having returned to normal operations and still benefiting from universal free lunch and reimbursement for meals at the highest level. In FY25, the state is requiring that some of the additional revenue be used to offer a breakfast program, in addition to lunch. DESE requires three months of operating to be kept in reserve in this account which should be achievable going forward (was not maintained in FY20 or FY21). See the Supplemental Materials section for Special Revenue Funds and Revolving Accounts.
- Emergency Migrant Homelessness Funding: The state provides direct funding in the amount of $\$ 104$ per day per enrolled student placed in an emergency hotel shelter, up to a total of $\$ 18,000$ per year per student. The reimbursement is paid quarterly to the schools.
- McKinney-Vento homeless transportation: These funds are paid directly to the town and reimburse expenditures to transport homeless students to their home school as required by law. Students who are state wards (like students placed in the hotel) are reimbursed at 100\% of costs. This reimbursement is paid annually about one year in arrears.
- Federal Pandemic Relief Funds: The school directly received significant multi-year relief grants (that are fully spent and closed out as of FY24). Funding is not available in FY25.


## Enrollment Basis for the FY25 School Budget

Each year, Bedford Public Schools uses enrollment projections in the planning of the next year's budget. Enrollment projections for the 2024-25 school year are the basis for the school district's maintenance of effort (MOE) budget. Enrollment trends have been changing from a growth pattern that the district had experienced since the year 2000. In the past twenty-three years, Bedford Public Schools grew from 2,086 to 2,689 students, a significant increase of $29 \%$.

With the changing enrollment trends that began in Fall 2020, Bedford has been experiencing lower enrollments at the elementary level. Bedford is not unique in experiencing these trends which are also reflected (more dramatically even) in statewide data. The contributing variables are complex and considered to include a global health crisis, economic conditions, real estate and job trends, and demographics - all influencing school attendance patterns. Bedford local birth data also has been trending lower than in the past when enrollment was growing.

The district planned for lower enrollments at the elementary schools this year, due to accumulated changes in enrollment over the past few years since 2020-21. The deployment by the state in August of the Bedford Plaza Hotel as an emergency migrant shelter, and the current state of emergency has resulted in 50 additional students at all four schools, with most students in the younger grades, and overall enrollment above the projection made in the fall of 2023.

The district was able to absorb the additional enrollment and has added three teachers specializing in English learning and early childhood instruction for newcomers, among other resources. We are pleased that the state has provided the financial support necessary for Bedford to meet the needs of these students.

The following graph depicts recent enrollment trends in Bedford Public Schools with sustained high enrollment at the secondary level and declining enrollment at the elementary level:

## K-12 Enrollment Trends



The district has developed internal projections based on standard projection methodology to predict future grade cohorts based on past averages. A "cohort advancement" ratio is calculated every year for every grade. A ratio below 1.0 means that the net of new enrollments and withdrawals results in a smaller cohort advancing to the next grade. A ratio above 1.0 means that the net of new enrollments and withdrawals results in a larger cohort advancing to the next year. The following chart details historical cohort ratios since 2009-10, based on the October 1 official enrollment. Until the 2020-21 school year, the district experienced strongly positive cohort advancement ratios with overall enrollment growth over the past decade-plus.

| YEAR | K-1 | $1-2$ | $2-3$ | $3-4$ | $4-5$ | $5-6$ | $6-7$ | $7-8$ | $8-9$ | $9-10$ | $10-11$ | $11-12$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2009-10$ | 1.07 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 1.13 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 0.95 |
| $2010-11$ | 1.04 | 0.93 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.96 |
| $2011-12$ | 1.12 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 1.10 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 1.21 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| $2012-13$ | 1.06 | 1.01 | 1.07 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.20 | 1.04 | 0.94 | 1.04 |
| $2013-14$ | 1.09 | 1.02 | 1.03 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.18 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.01 |
| $2014-15$ | 1.00 | 1.05 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.16 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.95 |
| $2015-16$ | 1.07 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 0.99 | 1.23 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 1.00 |
| $2016-17$ | 1.05 | 1.04 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.29 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 0.99 |
| $2017-18$ | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.07 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.13 | 0.93 | 1.02 | 0.98 |
| $2018-19$ | 1.07 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.14 | 1.05 | 0.96 | 0.97 |
| $2019-20$ | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.07 | 1.02 | 1.19 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.96 |
| $2020-21$ | 1.00 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1.14 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| $2021-22$ | 1.07 | 1.02 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.10 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.95 |
| $2022-23$ | 1.04 | 1.08 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.98 |
| $2023-24$ | 1.12 | 1.06 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.10 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.01 |
| $5-$ Year AVG | 1.05 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.12 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.98 |

Projecting enrollment for the upcoming year presents a greater than usual challenge due to the emergency homeless shelter that opened in Bedford in August 2023. The projections do incorporate the increase in enrollment but the impact on enrollment projections is mitigated due to our standard enrollment projection practice of using five-year rolling averages. We are currently receiving additional state and federal funding to cover the emergent needs of these students and families, and these additional expenses are budgeted separately from the FY25 operating budget proposal. We anticipate that additional state funds will continue to be available next year if we continue to host a shelter site, though it is impossible to predict with any certainty at this time, and we will continue to closely assess
these needs as more information becomes available. The NESDEC third party enrollment projection has been completed and provides useful information, especially around birth rates.

Student mobility is a factor in each school year. The table below illustrates student mobility in the current year and for the past four years. Typically, a positive student in-migration number aligns with enrollment growth in the district, and vice versa. The data shows positive in-migration in the current year and the 2019-20 school year. In the three intervening years (that also correspond to the pandemic years), we see higher numbers of student transfers to other in-state public and private schools, students moving out-of-state, or students opting for homeschooling.

| ANNUAL STUDENT MOBILITY | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K-5 BPS Enrollment (October 1) | $\mathbf{2 , 5 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 4 2}$ |
| Number of Student Exits | $\mathbf{3 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 3}$ |
| Graduating seniors | 196 | 207 | 233 | 198 | 210 |
| Transfer In-State Public | 40 | 59 | 60 | 76 | 56 |
| Transfer Out-of-State | 36 | 70 | 78 | 99 | 79 |
| Transfer to Home School | 1 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 4 |
| Transfer to In-state Private | 33 | 41 | 22 | 37 | 24 |
| Exits as \% of Total Enrollment | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| Number of Student Entrances | $\mathbf{3 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 9}$ |
| New Kindergarteners | 162 | 149 | 152 | 166 | 190 |
| New HAFB - Any Grade | 46 | 42 | 43 | 45 |  |
| Other New Students - Any Grade | 150 | 129 | 146 | 97 | 199 |
| Entrances as \% of Total Enrollment | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| Net In Migration (Out Migration) | 52 | $(60)$ | $(58)$ | $(114)$ | 16 |

Districtwide enrollment is projected to again decrease as a result of the continuing trend of smaller entering kindergarten classes. In particular, elementary enrollment is projected to decrease, the result of another larger elementary grade advancing to grade 6 at JGMS. The preliminary analysis of elementary class sizes indicates that the staffing level required to support K-5 instruction within the School Committee class size guideline will continue to be 48 sections in grades K-5 in the 2024-25 school year, the same number of sections that is required in the current year. A position may need to be shifted from Lane to Davis, based on the enrollment changes as shown below, though no final decisions have been made at this time. As the year progresses, and more enrollment information is known, a decision can be anticipated in the spring.

However, given the higher net migration evidenced in the current year and efforts to strengthen enrollment from Boston, the district believes it is prudent to plan for variability in new student moveins. Therefore, the FY25 enrollment projections are adjusted by 8 students at both Davis and Lane to account for the impact of student mobility. These adjustments are conservative and are fully integrated within the current FY25 Enrollment projection.

It should also be noted that, after a sustained period with grades over 200 students, the middle school will have two grade levels under that threshold with the addition of next year's grade 6 projected at

196 students. The high school is expected to continue with enrollment of more than 200 students in each grade for the next several years. Actual and projected enrollment by school and by grade is shown in the following tables.

Since enrollment projections using cohort ratios use the past to predict the future, an average of multiple years of experience is typically used. Specifically, a five-year average is commonly used in order to avoid an over-reliance upon the experience of a single year. Bedford's enrollment projections adhere to standard methodologies and use a 5 -year average ratio for all grades except Kindergarten.

The projection uses a 3-year average to project kindergarten. The use of a three-year average avoids relying on two earlier years when kindergarten enrollment was significantly higher. This aligns the district's kindergarten projection more closely with an independent enrollment projection (by NESDEC) that is also used for planning purposes. Kindergarten is consistently the least reliable projection due to the impact of move-ins that occur in the spring and summer prior to school enrollment. Enrollment projections for grades 1 through 12 are based on known cohorts and tend to be more accurate.

The district contracts with NESDEC to produce an independent projection which was completed this November, based upon the official October 1 enrollments reported to the state. NESDEC relies on birth data in its projection of kindergarten. NESDEC does not rely on move-in data to project kindergarten, and this is the primary difference between Bedford's internal projections and those by NESDEC.

Bedford birth data has been at lower levels for the past two years, with the trend continuing for children born in 2018 (111 children) to be school-age in the 2024-25 school year. as recorded by the Massachusetts Department of Vital Statistics. Lower birth rates also continued in 2018, 2019, and 2020 with 113, 101, and 92 Bedford births, respectively. Bedford births in 2021 are still considered provisional and are currently recorded by MA DPH Vital Statistics at 105 births. By contrast, Bedford births in 2017 of children eligible for kindergarten in 2019-20 totaled 148 children.

In comparing NESDEC's projected enrollment to Bedford's, NESDEC projects lower enrollment at Davis, which is expected because of the weight of the birth data embedded in NESDEC's methodology. Bedford's projection accounts for positive move-in numbers, as explained above, but at lower levels than in the past two years. NESDEC projects similar enrollment at Lane, JGMS and BHS. The chart below summarizes the comparison of the NESDEC projection and Bedford's projection.

| School | Bedford 2024-25 <br> Projections | NESDEC 24-25 <br> Projections | Diff | School | Bedford 2024-25 <br> Projections | NESDEC 24-25 <br> Projections | Diff |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Davis | 489 | 466 | -23 | JGMS | 610 | 610 | 0 |
| Lane | 532 | 530 | -2 | BHS | 879 | 877 | -2 |

The full NESDEC report is available in the Supplemental Materials section as well as Bedford's enrollment projections for the 2024-25 school year.

## Class Sizes

The elementary class size chart details the projected enrollment by grade and for both Davis and Lane and the number of classes to support that enrollment. All grades at Davis and Lane in 2024-25 are projected to be within class size guideline. However, given that kindergarten projections are the notoriously less reliable and the projected grade 4 is close to the top range, a reserve request for a teacher may be need in June 2024. As always, the district will continue to monitor enrollment data closely and make a determination about whether this is necessary later in the spring.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROJECTED } \\ & 2024-25 \end{aligned}$ | Davis Target Class Size |  |  | Lane Target Class Size |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kindergarten Range 18-20 | Grade 1 <br> Range 20-22 | Grade 2 <br> Range 20-22 | Grade 3 <br> Range 22-24 | Grade 4 <br> Range 22-24 | Grade 5 <br> Range 22-24 |  |
| Enrollment | 154 | 168 | 167 | 157 | 191 | 185 | 1,022 |
| \# Classrooms | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 47 |
| Avg Class size | 19.3 | 21.0 | 20.9 | 22.4 | 23.9 | 23.1 | 21.7 |

## FY25 MOE / LEVEL SERVICES BUDGET BY CATEGORY

The FY25 Maintenance of Effort (MOE) level services budget of $\$ 48,943,434$ is presented with information on the five major categories of spending. The MOE budget depends upon an additional $\$ 2,205,846$ in funding, a $4.7 \%$ increase above FY24. F25 proposed spending and the dollar and percentage change from FY24 are shown below:

| BY MAJOR EXPENSE CATEGORY | FY24 | FY25 Maintenance of Effort |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adjusted Budget | FY25 MOE | \$ Change | \% Change |
| SALARIES | \$ 38,545,920 | \$ 40,321,241 | \$1,775,321 | 4.6\% |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | \$ 2,964,589 | \$ 3,097,183 | \$ 132,594 | 4.5\% |
| SPEC ED - OUT OF DIST TUITION | \$ 3,045,561 | \$ 3,152,168 | \$ 106,607 | 3.5\% |
| REGULAR TRANSPORTATION | \$ 1,377,720 | \$ 1,495,887 | \$ 118,167 | 8.6\% |
| SPEC ED TRANSPORTATION | \$ 803,798 | \$ 876,955 | \$ 73,157 | 9.1\% |
| TOTAL | \$ 46,737,588 | \$ 48,943,434 | \$2,205,846 | 4.7\% |

Detailed information follows about each major expense category, along with information highlighting major shifts and changes, as well as budget factors and assumptions.

## Salaries / Personnel Costs

Salary projections include contractual adjustments for steps, lanes, and COLAs. All current-year staff is assumed to advance to the next step. Known staff changes including retirements and advancement to higher levels of training, or lane changes, are incorporated into the FY25 salary forecast. There is also a factor for attrition built into the budget to account for staff vacancies and unpaid leaves, as well as additional turnover savings anticipated to occur in the summer.

A full cost projection of all staffing costs evaluated by individual position, step, and lane, and contractual salary scales including stipends and longevity resulted in an overall MOE increase in staffing costs of $\$ 1,775,321$, and $4.6 \%$ above FY24.

## Operating Expenses

Departmental budgets have had limited increases and are close to level-funded in the FY25 proposal. When costs, for example, for instructional software renewals, are known, these have been built into departmental requests, as have some expenses where cost escalation has been more extreme (e.g. lumber in technology education). Due to cost escalation in the Facilities budget for goods and services, where cost pressure continues today, an adjustment was made in the current year budget. Line items in Facilities were adjusted within the $3.5 \%$ guideline in the FY25 proposal.

The overall operating expense increase is $\$ 132,594$, and $4.5 \%$ in the $F Y 25$ MOE budget.

## Special Education: Out-of-district Tuition

The overall operating budget increase for out-of-district tuition in the FY25 budget proposal is $\$ 106,607$, and an increase of $3.5 \%$. However, gross tuition and the use of offsets (primarily circuit breaker) yields this net tuition carried in the operating budget. These factors are explained below.

## Gross Tuition Expenses

In FY25, total special education tuition expenses are projected to increase by 8\%. After the addition of ancillary costs, the projected gross tuition increase is $8.6 \%$. The increase is due to both tuition rates and placements - the budget plans for an increase of 2 out-of-district placements. The anticipated FY25 tuition rate increases embedded within the budget proposal are approximately 6\%. Non-public tuition is set by the state's rate-setting body (Massachusetts Operational Services Division) for Chapter 766 special education private schools.

There are 35 day placements and 9 residential placements and a total of 44 students accounted for in the FY25 tuition budget. The projected enrollment factors in changes in the student body such as students graduating and aging out of programs at age 22 as well as new placements. The budget also includes all ancillary costs including bus monitors, 1:1 Aides, home ABA services, 45-day evaluations, and summer placements.

The total gross tuition budget of $\$ 5,347,414$ million. Details are shown in the chart below:

| TUITION COSTS | FY20 ACTUAL | FY21 ACTUAL | FY22 ACTUAL | FY23 ACTUAL | FY24 BUDGET | FY25 PROJECTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day Placements | 35 | 34 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 35 |
| Residential Placements | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 |
| Total Students Placed | 46 | 44 | 41 | 41 | 42 | 44 |
| Average Cost per Student | \$100,243 | \$99,214 | \$102,084 | \$110,752 | \$106,396 | \$106,396 |
| LABBB | \$747,058 | \$745,169 | \$567,911 | \$300,110 | \$272,406 | \$286,026 |
| CASE | \$228,876 | \$144,791 | \$155,000 | \$159,000 | \$166,950 | \$175,298 |
| Other Collaboratives | \$93,470 | \$108,540 | \$89,404 | \$42,000 | \$0 | \$45,360 |
| In State Private | \$3,541,755 | \$3,366,898 | \$3,373,137 | \$3,939,712 | \$3,434,961 | \$3,617,763 |
| Out State Private | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$101,000 | \$115,140 | \$120,897 |
| Pending | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | -\$1,000 | \$479,155 | \$602,071 |
| Subtotal Gross Tuition | \$4,611,160 | \$4,365,398 | \$4,185,452 | \$4,540,822 | \$4,468,612 | \$4,468,612 |
| TOTAL GROSS TUITION | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,611,160 \\ 11 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,365,398 \\ -5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,185,452 \\ -4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,540,822 \\ 8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,468,612 \\ -2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,847,414 \\ 8 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Gross Tuition and Ancillary Costs | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3,971,223 \\ -3 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,701,716 \\ 18 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,853,798 \\ 3 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,082,342 \\ 4.7 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,922,033 \\ -3.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,347,414 \\ 8.6 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Specifically, the tuition budget is based upon 44 students, an increase of two students, after accounting for students who graduate, turn 22, or move out of Bedford. The proposed budget plans for new enrollments and includes pending placements. Additional factors underlying the tuition budget include:

- Thirty-five (35) day placements
- Nine (9) residential placements
- Potential for transfer from day to residential placement
- 18 placements at collaborative programs
- 27 students at private special education school
- 6 new placements expected in 2024-25
- Collaborative rates are assumed to increase at 6\%
- Private Special Education school rates are anticipated to increase at 6\%
- Fully funded Circuit Breaker reimbursement at $75 \%$ for placements above the statewide threshold of approximately $\$ 50,000$.


## Net Tuition Expenses

Circuit Breaker reimbursements are used to lessen the tuition costs carried in the operating budget. The district will apply roughly $\$ 2.05 \mathrm{M}$ in Circuit Breaker reimbursements to support out-of-district tuition. This is a significant increase in planned usage of Circuit Breaker. With the addition of a permanent Stabilization Fund, as is proposed as part of the full FY25 budget proposal, lower reserves are anticipated to be maintained in the circuit breaker account.

This chart shows gross tuition and ancillary expenses in the past several years and projected in FY25 and the corresponding use of Circuit Breaker:

| TUITION COSTS | FY20 ACTUAL | FY21 ACTUAL | FY22 ACTUAL | FY23 ACTUAL | FY24 BUDGET | FY25 PROJECTED |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Gross Tuition and Ancillary Costs | $\$ 3,971,223$ <br> $-3 \%$ | $\$ 4,701,716$ <br> $18 \%$ | $\$ 4,853,798$ <br> $3 \%$ | $\$ 5,082,342$ <br> $4.7 \%$ | $\$ 4,922,033$ <br> $-3.2 \%$ | $\$ 5,347,414$ <br> $8.6 \%$ |
| Less Use of Circuit Breaker | $-\$ 1,328,520$ | $-\$ 1,551,650$ | $-\$ 1,580,516$ | $-\$ 1,688,501$ | $-\$ 1,777,558$ | $-\$ 2,045,246$ |
| TOTAL NET TUITION EXPENSE | $\$ 2,642,703$ | $\$ 3,150,066$ | $\$ 3,273,282$ | $\$ 3,210,576$ | $\$ 3,144,475$ | $\$ 3,302,168$ |

## Tuition Expenses in the Operating Budget

In recent years, a town reserve fund transfer has reduced the amount of tuition expense carried in the operating budget. Again in FY25, the district anticipates that up to $\$ 150,000$ in additional funding may need to be requested in June of 2024 to meet the full net tuition costs that are forecast.

| Operating Budget Funds | FY21 ACTUAL | FY22 ACTUAL | FY23 ACTUAL | FY24 BUDGET | FY25 <br> PROJECTED |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Budget Funds | $\$ 3,150,065$ | $\$ 2,887,348$ | $\$ 3,010,576$ | $\$ 3,045,561$ | $\$ 3,152,168$ |
| Potential Reserve (or Stabilization) Fund Request | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 346,192$ | $\$ 200,000$ | $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Total Tuition Expenditure | $\$ 3,150,065$ | $\$ 3,273,282$ | $\$ 3,210,576$ | $\$ 3,144,475$ | $\$ 3,302,168$ |
| Budget Variance | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Use of Circuit Breaker

The Circuit Breaker revenue and how it is used to offset costs in the operating budget is detailed below, with several years of history, including FY19 when the balance fell to a low level. By statute, school districts may carry forward up to a full year of Circuit Breaker reimbursement to help manage these volatile and extraordinary tuition costs.

Circuit Breaker is funded through the state to assist cities and towns manage high-cost placements. Costs above a certain threshold (or circuit breaker) become eligible for reimbursement in the following fiscal year. Reimbursement has been at $75 \%$, which is the state maximum, for several years. The commitment by the state to fully funding Circuit Breaker has been strong. The FY25 budget is based on an assumption of continuing reimbursement at the $75 \%$ level for out-of-district tuition and transportation.

Use of Circuit Breaker reimbursement is increased significantly in the FY25 budget to offset the cost of tuition, special education teachers, and out-of-district transportation. A year-end carryforward is planned at $5 \%$ of gross tuition costs, just under $\$ 240 \mathrm{~K}$. This lower reserve level is recommended in conjunction with the establishment of a new Special Education Stabilization fund, as requested in conjunction with the full FY25 budget proposal.

Tuition costs are a volatile expense area in the school budget. Maintaining a reserve against unexpected cost changes is considered best practice and allows districts to more successfully internally manage costs.

Circuit Breaker revenue and use in the budget with year-end balances are shown in the chart below:

| Circuit Breaker | FY20 ACTUAL | FY21 ACTUAL | FY22 ACTUAL | FY23 ACTUAL | FY24 BUDGET | FY25 PROJECTED |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circuit Breaker - prior year balance | $\$ 160,000$ | $\$ 625,270$ | $\$ 660,905$ | $\$ 555,134$ | $\$ 553,140$ | $\$ 551,502$ |
| CB Reimbursement / Revenue* | $\$ 1,825,270$ | $\$ 1,847,285$ | $\$ 1,884,745$ | $\$ 2,168,678$ | $\$ 2,235,920$ | $\$ 2,381,255$ |
| Total Circuit Breaker Available | $\$ 1,985,270$ | $\$ 2,472,555$ | $\$ 2,545,650$ | $\$ 2,723,812$ | $\$ 2,789,060$ | $\$ 2,932,757$ |
| Use to Offset Tuition | $-\$ 1,120,000$ | $-\$ 1,551,650$ | $-\$ 1,580,516$ | $-\$ 1,688,501$ | $-\$ 1,777,558$ | $-\$ 2,045,246$ |
| Use to Offset Instruction | $-\$ 240,000$ | $-\$ 260,000$ | $-\$ 260,000$ | $-\$ 260,000$ | $-\$ 260,000$ | $-\$ 400,000$ |
| Use to Offset Transportation |  |  | $-\$ 150,000$ | $-\$ 222,171$ | $-\$ 200,000$ | $-\$ 250,000$ |
| Circuit Breaker Balance | $\mathbf{\$ 6 2 5 , 2 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 6 0 , 9 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 5 5 , 1 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 5 3 , 1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 5 1 , 5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 7 , 5 1 1}$ |
| \% Gross Tuition Carried Forward | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ |  |

Circuit Breaker reimbursement for transportation is shown in the chart above. This represents significant new revenue when it became available for special education transportation for the first time in FY22 as a result of the enactment of the Massachusetts Student Opportunity Act (SOA) in December 2019.

## Special Education: In-House Programs

Bedford Public Schools aspires to educate all of our students with disabilities with as many of their non-disabled peers as possible. This district's commitment to inclusive education is long-standing, and it is also legally required. The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is the federal law that protects the rights of students with disabilities to a Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) in the least restrictive environment. Bedford offers specialized programs locally to help achieve these goals. There also is a cost avoidance benefit for Bedford.

The addition of specialized programming has been in direct response to IEP-required educational and related services. The district has added a number of in-house programs since FY11. See the Supplemental Materials section for detailed statistics.

Specialized programs offered by Bedford Public Schools include the Bridge (for students needing academic, behavioral, or social/emotional support) and SAIL programs (for students with Autism Spectrum Disorder). Cost analyses have been done over several years which have shown estimates of favorable average per pupil cost avoidance (savings) of $40 \%$. This analysis accounts for all theoretical circuit breaker reimbursements. Historically, the district can provide these programs inhouse at $60 \%$ of market rate cost.

## Regular Transportation

The regular transportation budget is based upon a stable fleet size with student daily ridership continuing at similar rates. In September 2023, ridership counts were taken and a report of ridership by bus number is included in the SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS section. The September ridership counts showed a total of 1,585 students riding the bus. This is an overall ridership rate of 68 percent for Bedford resident riders.

The fall ridership counts showed high ridership at Davis and Lane, with $91 \%$ and $83 \%$ ridership. The shared middle and high school buses were at $46 \%$ ridership. These are very similar to the prepandemic ridership data which documents a 5 -year average ridership was $46 \%$ at BHS/JGMS, $87 \%$ at Lane, and $89 \%$ at Davis. Also note that Boston and Hanscom Air Force Base riders are not included in the local bus statistics-these students ride additional buses that are paid for by the METCO grant and U.S. Department of Defense.

The three-year request for bid for transportation services was released in November 2023 and is now complete:

- The bid included regular transportation, in-district van transportation, Athletics/field trips, and METCO transportation
- The bid is for a three-year contract, with two optional renewal years
- The Bedford Charter Company submitted the only bid
- There were 8 requests and downloads of the bid
- There were 2 vendors at the bidder's conference
- There were 3 vendors at the bid delivery
- One vendor submitted a bid.
- A contracted rate increase, on average of $8-9 \%$ based on preliminary analysis of the bus bid just received on December 15, 2023 (formal evaluation and award is pending)

Information about the fleet and cost factors are highlighted below, and in the following chart:

- Thirteen 4-school buses (BHS, JGMS, Lane, and Davis)
- Three 2-school buses (BHS, JGMS)
- Three late buses that operating 3 days
- Hanscom AFB buses are on a separate contract (direct with Bedford Charter) paid by the U.S. Department of Defense
- METCO buses are paid by the METCO grant


## Special Education Transportation

Special Education transportation costs have increased in the MOE budget by $\$ 73,157$, and $9.1 \%$. This is due primarily to rates, with ridership marginally increased. Use of Circuit Breaker transportation reimbursement and revolving accounts have also been increased in the FY25 proposal. This is an identified area of cost pressure in the operating budget due external factors such as the driver shortage.

Bedford contracts with two providers for special education transportation and competitively quotes for additional transportation when the two main providers are at capacity (Bedford Charter for in-district and CASE collaborative for out-of-district special education transportation).

The special education transportation MOE budget is based on the factors highlighted below, and is detailed in the following chart:

- In-district rates are increased under the first year of the anticipated Bedford Charter contract
- In-district number of vans utilized is anticipated to increase from 4 vans to 5 vans in 2024-25
o This will lower the use of other transportation vendors for in-district transportation
- The majority of Out of District Transportation is provided by CASE on the basis of an annual assessment that is adjusted for prior year costs based on an assessment of $8.60 \%$ which is Bedford's weighted share of the transportation budget estimated at 6.4 million-riders are weighted based on the distance to school.
o If CASE transportation generates a surplus after its audit is complete, a credit is accrued due to positive operations of the program.
- Other specialized van transportation is budgeted to service needed routes (these rates are not contractual and have been subject to significant cost escalation)
- Costs for Extended School Year Program (ESY) and a Preschool mid-day run

| SPECIAL EDUCATION TRANPORTATION COSTS | FY23 Budget | FY24 Budget | FY25 Budget | Yr over Yr <br> Change | \% Chg |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-District Vans (4 vans; Increased to 5 in FY25) | $\$ 176,400$ | $\$ 176,400$ | $\$ 227,512$ | $\$ 51,112$ | $29 \%$ |
| Summer Extended School Year | $\$ 15,010$ | $\$ 15,010$ | $\$ 16,211$ | $\$ 1,201$ | $8 \%$ |
| Preschool Mid-day | $\$ 29,700$ | $\$ 24,600$ | $\$ 31,568$ | $\$ 6,968$ | $28 \%$ |
| Sub-total In-District Special Ed Transportation | $\$ 221,110$ | $\$ 216,010$ | $\$ 275,291$ | $\$ 59,281$ | $65 \%$ |
| Out-of-District Vans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Transportation | $\$ 240,754$ | $\$ 307,000$ | $\$ 356,297$ | $\$ 49,297$ | $16 \%$ |
| CASE Transportation including credit | $\$ 433,000$ | $\$ 445,788$ | $\$ 515,367$ | $\$ 69,579$ | $16 \%$ |
| Sub-total Out-of-District Transportation Costs | $\$ 673,754$ | $\$ 752,788$ | $\$ 871,664$ | $\$ 79,034$ | $10 \%$ |
| Total Special Education Transportation | $\$ 894,864$ | $\$ 968,798$ | $\$ 1,146,955$ | $\$ 73,934$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| Circuit Breaker Transportation Reimbursement Credit | $-\$ 195,000$ | $-\$ 200,000$ | $-\$ 250,000$ | $-\$ 5,000$ | $3 \%$ |
| Preschool Revolving Account | $-\$ 15,000$ | $-\$ 15,000$ | $-\$ 20,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $0 \%$ |
| Grand Total Special Education Transportation | $\$ 684,864$ | $\$ 753,798$ | $\$ 876,955$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 8 , 9 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |

## FY25 PROPOSED NET ADDITIONAL FUNDING TO ADDRESS IDENTIFIED CHALLENGES

This budget proposal requests additional funding to address identified challenges and provide important services to Bedford students in the upcoming 2024-25 school year. There are recommended additions, reductions, and changes comprising a net total of 1.4 FTE and $\$ 577,062$ in additional resources for Bedford Public Schools as summarized in the table below, followed by additional detail for each identified challenge.

| FY25 CHANGES DESCRIPTION |  | LOCATION | FY24 CHANGES FTE | FY24 <br> CHANGES <br> \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary Literacy Currilculum | Teacher Curriculum Stipends (Summer work) Outside Professional Development Contractual Services Textbooks and workbooks <br> Textbooks and workbooks <br> Town Reserve Fund Transfer - Textbooks | SYSTEM WIDE SYSTEM WIDE DAVIS SCHOOL LANE SCHOOL SYSTEM WIDE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 30,000 \\ & \$ 50,000 \\ & \$ 150,000 \\ & \$ 150,000 \\ & -\$ 210,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Subtotal Literacy Curriculum |  |  |  | \$170,000 |
| Instructional Data Specialist and Data Dashboard Software | Salaries Dashboard Instructional Software System | SYSTEM WIDE SYSTEM WIDE | 1.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 80,000 \\ & \$ 25,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Subtotal Data Specialist and Dashboard |  |  | 1.0 | \$105,000 |
| K-5 Coverage Model Improvement | Regular Education EA/Teaching Assistant | DAVIS SCHOOL | 3.0 | \$96,302 |
|  | Regular Education EA/Teaching Assistant Substitute <br> Substitute | LANE SCHOOL DAVIS SCHOOL LANE SCHOOL | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ -2.0 \\ -2.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$96,302 -\$45,000 -\$45,000 |
| Subtotal K-5 Coverage Model <br> Intensive Programming and Student Support |  |  | 2.0 | \$102,604 |
|  | Districtwide Leadership Salaries <br> Program Administrator - Other Salaries (PreK) <br> Program Director (Districtwide) for Intensive Programming <br> Other Instructional Services - Contractual Services | SYSTEM WIDE DAVIS SCHOOL SYSTEM WIDE DAVIS SCHOOL | -0.6 1.0 | $-\$ 75,340$ $\$ 626$ $\$ 121,000$ $\$ 150,000$ |
| Subtotal K-5 Coverage Model |  |  | 0.4 | \$196,286 |
| District Improvement Plan Subtotal District Improvement Plan | School Committee Reserve | SYSTEM WIDE |  | \$130,000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$130,000 |
| Waste Sort Program | Part-time salaries | SYSTEM WIDE |  | \$12,000 |
| Subtotal Waste Sort Program |  |  |  | \$12,000 |
| Enrollment | Professional Teacher Professional Teacher | LANE SCHOOL HIGH SCHOOL | $\begin{aligned} & -1.0 \\ & -1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-\$ 55,000 \\ & -\$ 83,828 \end{aligned}$ |
| Subtotal Enrollment Changes |  |  | -2.0 | -\$138,828 |
| Grand Total |  |  | 1.4 | \$577,062 |

There is a health insurance impact on the town associated with school's request for additional positions. The net health insurance financial impact is estimated at $\$ 14,000$. Please also note that staffing adjustments due to enrollment are included in the above chart and explained in the earlier section on the enrollment basis of the FY25 proposed budget.

Each additional request is detailed below in terms of the challenge currently faced, and the proposed solution, its rationale, data that supports the recommendation and manner in which students will benefit.

## New Literacy Curriculum

Challenge: As outlined at in SC Memo: Update of Literacy Plan, 11/28/2023 (bedfordps.org), after extensive discussion, examination of student outcome data for literacy, and consideration of stakeholder feedback, the district will be discontinuing its use of the Units of Study (Lucy Calkins) literacy curriculum.

Proposed Solution: The district will be shifting to a new literacy curriculum aligned with the science of reading, with a decision expected in Spring 2024. This will require purchasing new curriculum materials and intensive professional development, including an additional summer professional development day for elementary teachers in Summer 2024. The estimates are based on preliminary quotes received from a number of possible curriculum providers, though the true cost of this shift will not be known until a curriculum partner is selected. Note that the FY25 budget builds in the cost that is projected to be necessary to support implementation in subsequent years (i.e., built into the budget base), but one-time costs for start-up are not incorporated. We will assess the best way to cover these up-front costs, which may include a one-time reserve fund transfer request in either FY24 or FY25, depending on the timing of the committee's recommendation and School Committees approval of a curriculum to adopt.

Net funding request: $\$ 170,000$ plus $\$ 210,000$ in one-time costs requested as a reserve fund transfer

## Instructional Data Specialist and Dashboard System

Challenge: The district has invested significantly in our data systems infrastructure over the past several years to ensure that we have the formative data to support student growth and interventions, including our recent transition to the K-10 STAR assessment system. We have continued to expand the number of district-wide instructional software tools to enhance the educational experience of students. Additionally, we are in the process of systematically modernizing key systems to support hiring and retention, family-friendly and streamlined student registration and access to student information for families, security camera systems (as proposed in our FY25 capital budget request) and data sharing with instructors through a data visualization dashboard.

Proposed Solution: In order to ensure that our educators can fully utilize the technological tools to support student learning, we propose adding an instructional data specialist position to the current instructional coaching and data team, along with the licensing fee for a new data visualization product.

Net funding request: $\$ 105,000$

## K-5 Coverage Model Improvement

Challenge: The current approach to covering staff absences at the elementary level has been challenging. Since returning to full in-person learning after the COVID-19 school closures, we have been unable to hire or retain consistent staffing in the 4 building substitute positions at both elementary schools and have very little success attracting daily subs to cover teacher absences, despite adjusting the rate upwards several times. This results in a domino effect of EAs and TAs being pulled for substitute coverage, which impacts our ability to provide additional services to students who need the most support.

Proposed Solution: We propose to replace the building substitute positions with general education TAs assigned to support grade level teams. This proposed coverage model aligns with the findings
described in "An Exploration of the Experiences of Substitute Teachers: A Systematic Review" ${ }^{2}$, which highlights the benefits of ensuring substitutes are "supported, feel connected, and are respected by students, staff, and administrators" - not as "second class citizens" or "babysitters"; are familiar with established classroom and building-wide behavior norms and routines; and provided with curriculum materials and lesson plans to continue regular instruction.

At Davis, there is currently 1 general ed education EA/TA shared for all of 1st and 2nd grade, and an EA in every classroom at the Kindergarten level. We propose to add 3 additional general education EA/TA positions at first and second grade, which would provide for 2 EA/TAs each at 1st and 2nd grade who These EA/TAs would be part of these grade-level teams and would be the go-to individuals to cover for absent teachers at that grade level having worked hand-in-hand daily with the grade-level teachers and knowing both the children and the curriculum.

At Lane school, there are currently no general education EA/TAs who are regularly available for substitute teaching. We propose to add one general education EA/TA to each grade level team to provide support and substitute coverage at the respective grade levels.

Net funding request: \$102,604

## Supporting Students with Intensive Needs

Challenge 1 (General Education): Due to pandemic recovery and other factors, the needs of our most vulnerable students continue to grow in both general and special education. In general education, we have identified the need to provide comprehensive school-based, trauma-informed mental health services at the Davis School to support the intensive needs of a small group of students with diverse needs. The presenting needs of our students require additional clinical expertise and trauma-informed approaches to support the current team.

Proposed Solution: We propose to address the general education mental health needs at Davis through a contract partnership with an organization that provides culturally inclusive mental health and behavioral support programs and address trauma. In addition to bringing specific expertise, the partnership is designed with job-embedded professional development to support our educators, student support staff, and instructional leaders, as well as a family education component.

Net funding request: $\$ 150,000^{3}$
Challenge 2 (Special Education): Our current approach to supporting students with the most intensive special education needs is currently piecemeal. This includes a part-time out-of-district coordinator school-year position ( 0.6 FTE); IEP processes that occur over the summer and the federally-mandated Extended School Year (ESY) special education summer program that lack consistently assigned staff; and a part-time preschool coordinator who also provides psychological assessments services but does not evaluate staff. There is also a lack of capacity for coordinated oversight for our substantially separate in-district SAIL 1 program across buildings to support students with severe autism. While the SAIL 1 program is relatively small, the needs of the program, including staff and students, are intensive.

[^1]Proposed Solution: We proposed a targeted reorganization of special education administrative positions to address intensive special education needs. First, we will create a 12-month full-time district-wide special education program director position for intensive programming role, eliminating the 0.6 FTE out-of-district coordinator position and the current ESY stipend of \$10,000. This is a newt addition of 0.4 FTE. This position will encompass the responsibilities of the out-of-district coordinator, supervise the ESY program, ensure continuity of service within the SAIL 1 program across schools. Corresponding supervision and evaluation of SAIL staff (in collaboration with our consultants) for teachers, BCBAs, counselors, and Speech Language Pathologists associated with the program.

Second, the current preschool coordinator position is recommended to become a program administrator which will include staff evaluation responsibilities. Note, the preschool coordinator position was an off-cycle budget neutral change made in the late spring of 2023 for the current 202324 school year-done in response to student needs and used a position that had been vacant for a lengthy period of time.

Taken together, these targeted changes will strengthen program oversight for special education students with the most intensive needs, and create evaluation loads that are comparable across all special education program administrators.

Net funding request: $\$ 46,286$

## District Improvement Plan Initial Implementation

Challenge: Due to the timing of the Bedford budget process, the FY25 budget will be finalized and approved at the March 2024 Town Meeting prior to the completion of the next 3-year district improvement plan required by state regulations. I anticipate conducting a collaborative strategic planning process with a variety of stakeholders, so it is difficult to determine at this time what initiatives may be prioritized by the community. However, in order to ensure that we can begin initial implementation of identified priorities, it is necessary to have some built-in budget flexibility to make some initial investments in FY25.

Proposed Solution: In order to balance the desire to provide funds for initial implementation of the district improvement plan and public accountability for the use of those funds, we propose to increase the funding in a School Committee account for this purpose. Once the district improvement planning process is completed, the proposed plan for use of these initial funds would come before the school committee for approval prior to spending.

Net funding request: $\$ 130,000$

## Cafeteria Waste Sort Program Expansion

Challenge: The BPS cafeteria waste sort program was created in response to recent legislation tightening of disposal regulations ${ }^{4}$ and to be in alignment with Bedford's Recyclable Materials Policy ${ }^{5}$, whereby students separate their liquids/recyclables/trash/compostable items in the school cafeteria. In order to support effective implementation of these new waste sort practices, as demonstrated by the JGMS pilot program in Spring 2023, which reduced the amount of waste going to trash by over 80\% through combinations of liquid diversion, composting, and recycling. National best practice is for monitors to assist in proper sorting \& to avoid cross contamination, and additional monitors are necessary to expand the program to each school, particularly at the elementary level.

## Proposed Solution:

The district proposed to expand the waste sort program to all schools in Bedford staffed with monitors through a combination of high school \& special education interns, volunteers, senior citizen/veteran tax work-off program, and paid part-time employees. All workers in this program are part-time or volunteer and non-benefit eligible.

Net funding request: $\$ 12,000$

## Efficiencies, enrollment driven reductions, and proposals not brought forward

Each year, there are budget requests that are not moved forward because of resource constraints or because they are lower priority, less explicitly tied to district-wide goals, or require additional development or consideration. Budget requests identified in the FY25 budget process that were not brought forward in the current proposal include:

- Reduced class size guidelines at the elementary level
- Additional TA/BT positions in each specialized program
- Additional summer work days for Assistant Principals
- Additional elementary math intervention FTE
- Supply budget increases to the extent they were requested

In addition, we also strive to identify ways that services can be delivered more efficiently given evolving circumstances and to address enrollment shifts. This includes:

- The previously described reduction of 1.0 FTE elementary teacher due to declining elementary enrollment
- A 1.0 FTE reduction in a high school general education position serving a small number of students

[^2]Financial Details
FY25 SUPERINTENDENT'S PROPOSED BUDGET Summary by Major Expense Category

| TOTAL OPERATING BUDGET EXPENDITURES | FY24 | FY25 Maintenance of Effort |  |  | Additional Needs |  | FY25 Total Budget Request |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adjusted Budget | FY25 MOE | \$ Change | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | FY25 Additional | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | FY25 Total | \$ Change | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \$ 46,737,588 | \$ 48,943,434 | \$ 2,205,846 | 4.72\% | \$ 577,062 | 1.23\% | \$ 49,520,495 | \$ 2,782,908 | 5.95\% |


| BY SALARY AND NON-SALARY | FY24 | FY25 Maintenance of Effort |  |  | Additional Needs |  | FY25 Total Budget Request |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adjusted Budget | FY25 MOE | \$ Change | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | FY25 Additional | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \\ \text { Change } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | FY25 Total | \$ Change | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \\ \text { Change } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| SALARIES | \$ 38,545,920 | \$ 40,321,241 | \$ 1,775,321 | 4.6\% | \$ 132,062 | 0.3\% | \$ 40,453,304 | \$ 1,907,384 | 4.9\% |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | \$ 8,191,668 | \$ 8,622,193 | \$ 430,525 | 5.3\% | \$ 445,000 | 5.4\% | \$ 9,067,193 | 875,525 | 10.7\% |
| TOTAL | \$ 46,737,588 | \$ 48,943,434 | \$ 2,205,846 | 4.7\% | \$ 577,062 | 1.2\% | \$ 49,520,495 | \$ 2,782,908 | 6.0\% |


| BY COST CENTER | FY24 | FY25 Maintenance of Effort |  |  | Additional Needs |  | FY25 Total Budget Request |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adjusted Budget | FY25 MOE | \$ Change | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | FY25 Additional | \% Change | FY25 Total | \$ Change | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| REGULAR EDUCATION | \$ 26,887,728 | \$ 28,373,214 | \$ 1,485,485 | 5.5\% | \$ 388,776 | 1.4\% | \$ 28,761,990 | \$ 1,874,261 | 7.0\% |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION | \$ 12,713,111 | \$ 13,190,761 | \$ 477,651 | 3.8\% |  |  | \$ 13,190,761 | \$ 477,651 | 3.8\% |
| ADMINISTRATION | \$ 3,583,878 | \$ 3,754,408 | \$ 170,530 | 4.8\% | \$ 142,000 | 4.0\% | \$ 3,896,408 | \$ 312,530 | 8.7\% |
| ADMINISTRATION - SPEC ED | \$ 1,030,850 | \$ 1,046,438 | \$ 15,588 | 1.5\% | 46,286 | 4.5\% | \$ 1,092,725 | \$ 61,874 | 6.0\% |
| FACILITIES | \$ 2,522,020 | \$ 2,578,613 | \$ 56,593 | 2.2\% | \$ - |  | \$ 2,578,613 | \$ 56,593 | 2.2\% |
| TOTAL | \$ 46,737,588 | \$ 48,943,434 | \$ 2,205,846 | 4.7\% | \$ 577,062 | 1.2\% | \$ 49,520,495 | \$ 2,782,908 | 6.0\% |

FY25 SUPERINTENDENT'S PROPOSED BUDGET

| BY MAJOR EXPENSE CATEGORY |  | FY24 | FY25 Maintenance of Effort |  |  |  |  | Additional Needs |  |  | FY25 Total Budget Request |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Adjusted Budget |  | FY25 MOE |  | \$ Change | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |  | FY25 Additional | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |  | FY25 Total |  | \$ Change | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| SALARIES | \$ | 38,545,920 | \$ | 40,321,241 |  | 1,775,321 | 4.6\% | \$ | 132,062 | 0.3\% | \$ | 40,453,304 | \$ | 1,907,384 | 4.9\% |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | \$ | 2,964,589 | \$ | 3,097,183 | \$ | 132,594 | 4.5\% |  | 445,000 | 15.0\% | \$ | 3,542,183 | \$ | 577,594 | 19.5\% |
| SPEC ED - OUT OF DIST TUITION | \$ | 3,045,561 | \$ | 3,152,168 |  | 106,607 | 3.5\% |  | - |  |  | 3,152,168 | \$ | 106,607 | 3.5\% |
| REGULAR TRANSPORTATION | \$ | 1,377,720 | \$ | 1,495,887 |  | 118,167 | 8.6\% | \$ | - |  |  | 1,495,887 | \$ | 118,167 | 8.6\% |
| SPEC ED TRANSPORTATION | \$ | 803,798 | \$ | 876,955 |  | 73,157 | 9.1\% | \$ | - |  | \$ | 876,955 | \$ | 73,157 | 9.1\% |
| TOTAL | \$ | 46,737,588 | \$ | 48,943,434 |  | 2,205,846 | 4.7\% | \$ | 577,062 | 1.2\% | \$ | 49,520,495 | \$ | 2,782,908 | 6.0\% |


| BY LOCATION | FY24 | FY25 Maintenance of Effort |  |  |  |  | Additional Needs |  |  | FY25 Total Budget Request |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adjusted <br> Budget |  | FY25 MOE |  | Change | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \\ \text { Change } \end{array}$ |  | FY25 Additional | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |  | FY25 Total |  | \$ Change | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| DAVIS SCHOOL | \$ 7,633,442 | \$ | 8,267,721 |  | 634,279 | 8.3\% | \$ | 91,928 | 1.2\% | \$ | 8,359,649 | \$ | 726,207 | 9.5\% |
| LANE SCHOOL | \$ 7,410,090 | \$ | 7,726,188 | \$ | 316,098 | 4.3\% | \$ | 46,302 | 0.6\% | \$ | 7,772,490 | \$ | 362,400 | 4.9\% |
| MIDDLE SCHOOL | \$ 8,853,059 | \$ | 9,223,610 |  | 370,550 | 4.2\% | \$ | - |  | \$ | 9,223,610 | \$ | 370,550 | 4.2\% |
| HIGH SCHOOL | \$ 13,069,814 | \$ | 13,729,259 | \$ | 659,445 | 5.0\% | \$ | $(83,828)$ | -0.6\% | \$ | 13,645,431 | \$ | 575,617 | 4.4\% |
| SYSTEM WIDE | \$ 9,771,181 | \$ | 9,996,656 |  | 225,475 | 2.3\% | \$ | 522,660 | 5.3\% |  | 10,519,316 |  | 748,135 | 7.7\% |
| TOTAL | \$ 46,737,587 | \$ | 48,943,434 |  | 2,205,846 | 4.7\% | \$ | 577,062 | 1.2\% | \$ | 49,520,495 | \$ | 2,782,909 | 6.0\% |

FY25 SCHOOL COMMITTEE PROPOSED BUDGET BY RESPONSIBILITY CENTER

|  |  | Budget <br> FY24 SC Adjusted Budget |  | FY25 Proposed BUDGET |  |  |  |  |  | CHANGE FROM FY24 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line <br> No. | Responsibility Center - Department | FTE | \$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MOE } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | MOE \$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ADDTL} \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | ADDITIONAL \$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL \$ | \$ | \% |
| 1 | School Committee |  | \$223,239 |  | \$194,744 |  | \$130,000 |  | \$324,744 | \$101,505 | 45.5\% |
| 2 | Administration | 10.0 | \$1,276,059 | 10.0 | \$1,357,522 |  |  | 10.0 | \$1,357,522 | \$81,463 | 6.4\% |
| 3 | School Leadership | 18.6 | \$2,253,163 | 18.6 | \$2,300,500 |  |  | 18.6 | \$2,300,500 | \$47,337 | 2.1\% |
| 4 | Elementary Instruction | 80.9 | \$7,673,926 | 80.9 | \$8,024,269 | 1.0 | \$287,604 | 81.9 | \$8,303,125 | \$629,199 | 8.2\% |
| 5 | Secondary Instruction | 128.8 | \$13,118,571 | 128.8 | \$13,865,346 | -1.0 | $(\$ 83,828)$ | 127.8 | \$13,781,518 | \$662,947 | 5.1\% |
| 6 | Special Education | 147.4 | \$13,572,662 | 147.4 | \$14,231,730 | 0.4 | \$46,286 | 147.8 | \$14,286,763 | \$714,101 | 5.3\% |
| 7 | Counseling | 16.1 | \$1,470,266 | 16.1 | \$1,545,012 |  |  | 16.1 | \$1,545,012 | \$74,746 | 5.1\% |
| 8 | School Health | 5.6 | \$508,252 | 5.6 | \$570,249 |  |  | 5.6 | \$570,249 | \$61,997 | 12.2\% |
| 9 | English Learners | 9.0 | \$883,717 | 9.0 | \$865,268 |  |  | 9.0 | \$865,268 | $(\$ 18,449)$ | -2.1\% |
| 10 | IT/Library/Media | 15.0 | \$1,720,783 | 15.0 | \$1,825,966 | 1.0 | \$80,000 | 16.0 | \$1,905,966 | \$185,183 | 10.8\% |
| 11 | Curriculum \& Professional Development |  | \$334,929 |  | \$349,000 |  | \$105,000 |  | \$454,000 | \$119,071 | 35.6\% |
| 12 | Athletics | 2.0 | \$904,019 | 2.0 | \$916,518 |  |  | 2.0 | \$916,518 | \$12,499 | 1.4\% |
| 13 | Student Activities |  | \$294,490 |  | \$315,496 |  |  |  | \$315,496 | \$21,006 | 7.1\% |
| 14 | Facilities | 28.8 | \$2,503,512 | 29.3 | \$2,581,813 |  | \$12,000 | 29.3 | \$2,593,813 | \$90,301 | 3.6\% |
|  | GRAND TOTAL - ALL OPERATING COSTS | 462.2 | \$46,737,588 | 462.6 | \$48,943,434 | 1.4 | \$577,062 | 464.0 | \$49,520,495 | \$2,782,908 | 5.95\% |


|  | Budget Offsets (included above) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Title I Grant | $(\$ 34,229)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(\$ 34,229)$ |  |  |
| 17 | Special Education IDEA Grant | $(\$ 575,747)$ |  |  |  |  |  | (\$575,747) |  |  |
| 18 | Integrated Preschool Tuition | $(\$ 95,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  | (\$120,000) | (\$25,000) | 26.3\% |
| 19 | Athletic Fund | $(\$ 25,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(\$ 25,000)$ |  |  |
| 20 | Mudge Fund | $(\$ 6,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(\$ 6,000)$ |  |  |
| 21 | Building Rental Income | $(\$ 118,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\$ 136,000$ ) | (\$18,000) | 15.3\% |
| 22 | Hanscom State Impact Aid - Mitigation | (\$516,000) |  |  |  |  |  | (\$516,000) |  |  |
| 23 | METCO Grant Teacher Credit | $(\$ 30,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(\$ 30,000)$ |  |  |
| 24 | Circuit Breaker - Staffing | $(\$ 260,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  | (\$400,000) | (\$140,000) | 53.8\% |
| 25 | Circuit Breaker - Transportation | $(\$ 200,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  | (\$250,000) | $(\$ 50,000)$ | 25.0\% |
| 26 | Circuit Breaker - Tuition | (\$1,804,996) |  |  |  |  |  | (\$2,045,246) | (\$240,250) | 13.3\% |
| 27 | Town Reserve (or Stabilization Fund Use) for Tuition | $(\$ 150,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  | (\$150,000) |  |  |
| 27 | Town Reserve for New Literacy Curriculum |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(\$ 210,000)$ | (\$210,000) | 100.0\% |
|  | GRAND TOTAL - ALL OPERATING COSTS | $(\$ 3,814,972)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(\$ 4,498,222)$ | $(\$ 683,250)$ |  |

The FY25 budget request is reliant upon a Town reserve for special education out-of-district tuition and for one-time costs associated with a new K-5 Literacy Currilculum.

FY25 SUPERINTENDENT'S PROPOSED BUDGET Summary by Education Function

| BY FUNCTION | FY25 MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT |  | FY25ADDITIONALNEEDS |  | FY25 TOTAL BUDGET REQUEST |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL COMMITTEE | \$ | 126,744 | \$ | 130,000 | \$ | 256,744 |
| SUPERINTENDENT | \$ | 386,403 | \$ | - | \$ | 386,403 |
| ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENTS | \$ | 258,762 | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 288,762 |
| OTHER DISTRICT-WIDE ADMIN | \$ | 159,135 | \$ | - | \$ | 159,135 |
| BUSINESS AND FINANCE | \$ | 484,600 | \$ | - | \$ | 484,600 |
| HUMAN RESOURCES AND BENEFIT | \$ | 168,843 | \$ | - | \$ | 168,843 |
| LEGAL SERVICE SCHOOL COMM | \$ | 65,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 65,000 |
| DW INFO MGMT \& TECH | \$ | 348,520 | \$ | - | \$ | 348,520 |
| CURRICULUM DIRECTORS (SUP) | \$ | 2,267,769 | \$ | 46,286 | \$ | 2,314,056 |
| DEPARTMENT HEADS (NON-SUP) | \$ | 127,798 | \$ | - | \$ | 127,798 |
| INST TECH LEADERSHIP TRAINING | \$ | - | \$ | 80,000 | \$ | 80,000 |
| SCHOOL LEADERSHIP | \$ | 1,927,950 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,927,950 |
| TEACHERS, CLASSROOM | \$ | 22,794,550 | \$ | $(138,828)$ | \$ | 22,655,722 |
| MEDICAL/ THERAPEUTIC SERV | \$ | 1,370,570 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,370,570 |
| SUBSTITUTES, LONG TERM | \$ | 380,000 | \$ | $(90,000)$ | \$ | 290,000 |
| SUB TEACHERS, SHORT TERM | \$ | 95,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 95,000 |
| PARAPROFESSIONALS | \$ | 2,731,070 | \$ | 192,604 | \$ | 2,923,674 |
| LIBRARIANS/MEDIA CENTER DIR | \$ | 449,254 | \$ | - | \$ | 449,254 |
| INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES | \$ | 329,323 | \$ | - | \$ | 329,323 |
| COSTS-STAFF TO ATTEND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT | \$ | 39,565 | \$ | - | \$ | 39,565 |
| OUTSIDE PROF DEV FOR STAFF | \$ | 125,000 | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | 175,000 |
| TEXTBOOKS, RELATED SOFTWARE | \$ | 62,270 | \$ | 90,000 | \$ | 152,270 |
| OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL MATLS | \$ | 31,244 | \$ | - | \$ | 31,244 |
| INSTRUCTIONAL EQUIPMENT | \$ | 55,450 | \$ | - | \$ | 55,450 |
| GENERAL CLASSROOM SUPPLIES | \$ | 224,439 | \$ | - | \$ | 224,439 |
| OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICE | \$ | 165,172 | \$ | 150,000 | \$ | 315,172 |
| CLASSROOM INSTRUCTIONAL TEC | \$ | 174,333 | \$ | - | \$ | 174,333 |
| OTHER INSTR HARDWARE | \$ | 4,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,000 |
| INSTRUCTIONAL SOFTWARE | \$ | 114,078 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 139,078 |
| GUIDANCE AND ADJ COUNSELORS | \$ | 2,348,044 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,348,044 |
| TESTING AND ASSESSMENT | \$ | 72,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 72,000 |
| PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES | \$ | 367,932 | \$ | - | \$ | 367,932 |
| MEDICAL/HEALTH SERVICES | \$ | 570,249 | \$ | - | \$ | 570,249 |
| TRANSPORTATION SERVICES | \$ | 2,372,842 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,372,842 |
| FOOD SERVICES | \$ | - | \$ | 12,000 | \$ | 12,000 |
| ATHLETICS | \$ | 916,518 | \$ | - | \$ | 916,518 |
| OTHER STUDENT ACTIVITIES | \$ | 315,496 | \$ | - | \$ | 315,496 |
| SCHOOL SECURITY | \$ | 28,500 | \$ | - | \$ | 28,500 |
| CUSTODIAL SERVICES | \$ | 1,536,788 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,536,788 |
| UTILITY SERVICES | \$ | 24,744 | \$ | - | \$ | 24,744 |
| MAINTENANCE OF BUILDINGS | \$ | 1,001,866 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,001,866 |
| MAINTENANCE OF EQUIPMENT | \$ | 18,415 | \$ | - | \$ | 18,415 |
| NETWORKING AND TELECOMM | \$ | 640,428 | \$ | - | \$ | 640,428 |
| TECHNOLOGY MAINTENANCE | \$ | 33,800 | \$ | - | \$ | 33,800 |
| EMPLOYEE SEPARATION COSTS | \$ | 68,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 68,000 |
| SCHOOL CROSSING GUARDS | \$ | 8,800 | \$ | - | \$ | 8,800 |
| TUITION TO NON-PUBLIC SCHL | \$ | 2,036,520 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,036,520 |
| TUITION TO COLLABORATIVES | \$ | 1,115,647 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,115,647 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 48,943,434 | \$ | 577,062 | \$ | 49,520,495 |

FY25 SUPERINTENDENT'S PROPOSED BUDGET BY RESPONSIBILITY CENTER AND ACCOUNT

FY25 SUPERINTENDENT'S PROPOSED BUDGET BY RESPONSIBILITY CENTER AND ACCOUNT

FY25 SUPERINTENDENT'S PROPOSED BUDGET BY RESPONSIBILITY CENTER AND ACCOUNT

| LineNo. | Responsibility Center and Type of Expenditure | FY25 MOE Budget |  | FY25 Additions, Reductions, Changes |  | FY25 Proposed Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FTE | \$ | FTE | \$ | TOTAL FTE | TOTAL \$ |
|  | Special Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | Districtwide Leadership-Salaries | 1.6 | \$227,255 | 0.4 | \$45,660 | 2.0 | \$272,915 |
| 58 | Professional Teacher-Salaries | 61.2 | \$5,950,293 |  |  | 61.2 | \$5,950,293 |
| 59 | Program Administrator-Salaries | 5.0 | \$576,472 |  | \$626 | 5.0 | \$577,098 |
| 59 | Professional Teacher-Salaries (Circuit Breaker Offset) |  | -\$400,000 |  |  |  | (\$400,000) |
| 60 | Professional Teacher-Salaries (Preschool tuition Offset) |  | -\$100,000 |  |  |  | (\$100,000) |
| 61 | Professional Teacher-Salaries-Prof-SLP | 5.0 | \$473,614 |  |  | 5.0 | \$473,614 |
| 62 | Professional Teacher-Salaries-Prof-OTPT | 4.6 | \$489,835 |  |  | 4.6 | \$489,835 |
| 63 | Professional Teacher-Salaries-Prof-BCBA | 4.0 | \$407,122 |  |  | 4.0 | \$407,122 |
| 64 | Administrative Assistant-Salaries | 1.9 | \$72,200 |  |  | 1.9 | \$72,200 |
| 65 | DW Administrative Support-Salaries | 2.0 | \$114,711 |  |  | 2.0 | \$114,711 |
| 66 | Special Ed Teaching Assistant-Salaries-Other | 59.1 | \$2,399,827 |  |  | 59.1 | \$2,399,827 |
| 67 | Special Ed Teaching Assistant-Salaries-Other (IDEA Grant Offset) |  | -\$575,747 |  |  |  | (\$575,747) |
| 68 | Special Ed Teaching Assistant-Salaries-COTA/SLPA | 3.0 | \$145,526 |  |  | 3.0 | \$145,526 |
| 69 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-Admin Tech Software |  | \$6,500 |  |  |  | \$6,500 |
| 70 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-Other Instructional Services |  | \$93,500 |  |  |  | \$93,500 |
| 71 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-Paraprofessionals |  | \$240,000 |  |  |  | \$240,000 |
| 72 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-Special Ed Transportation |  | \$1,146,955 |  |  |  | \$1,146,955 |
| 73 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-Tuition |  | \$5,347,413 |  |  |  | \$5,347,413 |
| 73 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-Special Ed Trans (Circuit Breaker Offset) |  | -\$250,000 |  |  |  | $(\$ 250,000)$ |
| 74 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-Special Ed Trans (Preschool Tuition) |  | -\$20,000 |  |  |  | $(\$ 20,000)$ |
| 74 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-Tuition (Circuit Breaker Offset) |  | -\$2,045,246 |  |  |  | (\$2,045,246) |
| 75 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-Tuition (Town Reserve Request) |  | -\$150,000 |  |  |  | (\$150,000) |
| 76 | Operating Expenses-Home Health Services |  | \$5,500 |  |  |  | \$5,500 |
| 77 | Operating Expenses-Equipment Repair Services |  | \$7,500 |  |  |  | \$7,500 |
| 78 | Operating Expenses-Supplies And Materials |  | \$58,000 |  |  |  | \$58,000 |
| 79 | Operating Expenses-Other Expense |  | \$8,500 |  |  |  | \$8,500 |
| 80 | Operating Expenses-School Travel |  | \$2,000 |  |  |  | \$2,000 |
|  | Curriculum and Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | Teacher Curriculum Stipends-Salaries-Other |  | \$90,000 |  | \$30,000 |  | \$120,000 |
| 82 | Outside Professional Development-Contractual Services |  | \$125,000 |  | \$50,000 |  | \$175,000 |
| 83 | Instructional Software-Contractual Services-Instructional Software |  | \$64,000 |  | \$25,000 |  | \$89,000 |
| 84 | Testing and Assessment-Contractual Services |  | \$50,000 |  |  |  | \$50,000 |
| 85 | BEA Prof Dev Committee-Other Expense |  | \$20,000 |  |  |  | \$20,000 |

FY25 SUPERINTENDENT'S PROPOSED BUDGET BY RESPONSIBILITY CENTER AND ACCOUNT

| Line <br> No. | Responsibility Center and Type of Expenditure | FY25 MOE Budget |  | FY25 Additions, Reductions, Changes |  | FY25 Proposed Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FTE | \$ | FTE | \$ | TOTAL FTE | TOTAL \$ |
|  | Counseling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | Professional Teacher-Salaries | 15.1 | \$1,521,611 |  |  | 15.1 | \$1,521,611 |
| 87 | Professional Teacher-Salaries (State Hanscom Military Mitigation Offset) |  | -\$33,449 |  |  |  | (\$33,449) |
| 88 | Administrative Assistant-Salaries | 1.0 | \$33,995 |  |  | 1.0 | \$33,995 |
| 89 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services |  | \$15,705 |  |  |  | \$15,705 |
| 90 | Operating Expenses-Supplies And Materials |  | \$3,850 |  |  |  | \$3,850 |
| 91 | Operating Expenses-Other Expense |  | \$3,000 |  |  |  | \$3,000 |
| 92 | Operating Expenses-School Travel |  | \$300 |  |  |  | \$300 |
|  | School Health |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | Undistributed-Salary | 5.6 | \$546,749 |  |  | 5.6 | \$546,749 |
| 94 | Student Activities-Contracted Services |  | \$14,000 |  |  |  | \$14,000 |
| 95 | Student Activities-Supplies |  | \$9,500 |  |  |  | \$9,500 |
|  | English Learners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96 | Program Director (Districtwide) and Professional Teacher - Salaries | 9.0 | \$830,968 |  |  | 9.0 | \$830,968 |
| 97 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-Translation |  | \$22,000 |  |  |  | \$22,000 |
| 98 | Operating Expenses-Supplies And Materials |  | \$12,000 |  |  |  | \$12,000 |
| 99 | Operating Expenses-School Travel |  | \$300 |  |  |  | \$300 |
|  | IT/Library/Media |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | Director of IT/Library Media; Instructional Coach-Salaries | 4.0 | \$472,545 |  |  | 4.0 | \$472,545 |
| 101 | Library Teacher-Salaries | 4.0 | \$449,254 |  |  | 4.0 | \$449,254 |
| 102 | Technology-IT, Data Compliance, Network-Salaries | 3.0 | \$290,516 |  |  | 3.0 | \$290,516 |
| 103 | Technology-Leadership, Instructional Technology and Coaches-Salaries-Other |  |  | 1.0 | \$80,000 | 1.0 | \$80,000 |
| 104 | Technology-Support and Maintenance-Salaries-Other | 4.0 | \$336,412 |  |  | 4.0 | \$336,412 |
| 105 | Seasonal/Part-time-Other |  | \$12,000 |  |  |  | \$12,000 |
| 106 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-DW Info Management \& Technology |  | \$178,000 |  |  |  | \$178,000 |
| 107 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services |  | \$18,744 |  |  |  | \$18,744 |
| 108 | Operating Expenses-Supplies And Materials |  | \$46,300 |  |  |  | \$46,300 |
| 109 | Operating Expenses-Other Expense |  | \$20,695 |  |  |  | \$20,695 |
| 110 | Operating Expenses-School Travel |  | \$1,500 |  |  |  | \$1,500 |

FY25 SUPERINTENDENT'S PROPOSED BUDGET BY RESPONSIBILITY CENTER AND ACCOUNT

| Line No. | Responsibility Center and Type of Expenditure | FY25 MOE Budget |  | FY25 Additions, Reductions, Changes |  | FY25 Proposed Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FTE | \$ | FTE | \$ | TOTAL FTE | TOTAL \$ |
|  | Athletics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 | Athletics-Salaries | 0.3 | \$141,722 |  |  | 0.3 | \$141,722 |
| 112 | Administrative Assistant-Salaries | 0.4 | \$15,889 |  |  | 0.4 | \$15,889 |
| 113 | Other Staff-Salaries-Other | 0.6 | \$45,000 |  |  | 0.6 | \$45,000 |
| 114 | Coaching Stipends-Other Salary-Coach |  | \$426,663 |  |  |  | \$426,663 |
| 115 | Coaching Stipends-Salaries-Other (Athletics Revolving Account offset) |  | -\$25,000 |  |  |  | $(\$ 25,000)$ |
| 116 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services |  | \$140,000 |  |  |  | \$140,000 |
| 117 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-Transportation |  | \$67,310 |  |  |  | \$67,310 |
| 118 | Operating Expenses-League Officials |  | \$53,809 |  |  |  | \$53,809 |
| 119 | Operating Expenses-League Officials |  | \$23,525 |  |  |  | \$23,525 |
| 120 | Operating Expenses-Supplies And Materials |  | \$21,600 |  |  |  | \$21,600 |
| 121 | Operating Expenses-Uniforms |  | \$12,000 |  |  |  | \$12,000 |
| 122 | Operating Expenses-Uniforms (Mudge Fund Offset) |  | -\$6,000 |  |  |  | $(\$ 6,000)$ |
|  | Student Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 | Athletics-Salaries |  | \$25,555 |  |  |  | \$25,555 |
| 124 | Administrative Assistant-Salaries |  | \$6,350 |  |  |  | \$6,350 |
| 125 | Other Staff-Salaries-Other |  | \$283,591 |  |  |  | \$283,591 |
|  | Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 | Facilities Professional-Salaries | 2.1 | \$210,818 |  |  | 2.1 | \$210,818 |
| 127 | Facilities Administrative Support-Salaries | 1.4 | \$88,485 |  |  | 1.4 | \$88,485 |
| 128 | Custodial-Salaries-Other | 21.8 | \$1,392,058 |  |  | 21.8 | \$1,392,058 |
| 129 | Custodial-Salaries-Other (Building Rental Offset) |  | -\$76,000 |  |  |  | $(\$ 76,000)$ |
| 130 | Maintenance-Salaries-Other | 4.0 | \$282,383 |  |  | 4.0 | \$282,383 |
| 131 | Seasonal/Part-time-Other |  | \$28,000 |  | \$12,000 |  | \$40,000 |
| 132 | Custodial-Other Salary-Overtime |  | \$55,000 |  |  |  | \$55,000 |
| 133 | Maintenance-Other Salary-Overtime |  | \$40,685 |  |  |  | \$40,685 |
| 134 | Custodial-Other Salary-Overtime (Building Rental Offset) |  | -\$30,000 |  |  |  | (\$30,000) |
| 135 | Maintenance-Other Salary-Overtime (Building Rental Offset) |  | -\$30,000 |  |  |  | (\$30,000) |
| 136 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services |  | \$333,114 |  |  |  | \$333,114 |
| 137 | Operating Expenses-Contractual Services-telephones |  | \$24,744 |  |  |  | \$24,744 |
| 138 | Operating Expenses-Supplies And Materials |  | \$234,215 |  |  |  | \$234,215 |
| 139 | Operating Expenses-Uniforms |  | \$7,980 |  |  |  | \$7,980 |
| 140 | Operating Expenses-Other Expense |  | \$15,843 |  |  |  | \$15,843 |
| 141 | Operating Expenses-School Travel |  | \$4,488 |  |  |  | \$4,488 |
| OPERATING BUDGET GRAND TOTAL |  | 462.6 | \$48,943,434 | 1.4 | \$577,062 | 464.0 | \$49,520,495 |

FY24 BUDGET AND FY25 PROPOSED FTE SUMMARY

| RESPONSIBILITY CENTER | FY23 ACTUAL <br> FTE | FY24 MOE <br> FTE | FY24 <br> ADDITIONAL <br> FTE | FY24 TOTAL <br> FTE <br> PROPOSED | DIFF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Committee | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Administration | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 |  |
| School Leadership | 18.6 | 18.6 |  | 18.6 |  |
| Elementary Instruction | 80.9 | 80.9 | 1.0 | 82.9 | 2.0 |
| Secondary Instruction | 128.8 | 128.8 | -1.0 | 127.8 | -1.0 |
| Special Education | 147.4 | 147.4 | 0.4 | 147.8 |  |
| Counseling | 16.1 | 16.1 |  | 16.1 |  |
| School Health | 5.6 | 5.6 |  | 5.6 | 0.0 |
| English Learners | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 |  |
| It/Library/Media | 15.0 | 15.0 | 1.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 |
| Curriculum \& Professional Development | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Athletics | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  |
| Student Activities | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Facilities | 28.8 | 29.3 |  | 29.3 |  |
| TOTAL | 462.2 | 462.6 | 1.4 | 465.0 | 1.0 |

FY24 BUDGET AND FY25 PROPOSED FTE DETAIL

| RESPONSIBILITY CENTER | EDUCATIONAL FUNCTION | JOB CLASSIFICATION | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY25 } \\ \text { ADDITIONAL } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY25 TOTAL } \\ \text { FTE } \\ \text { PROPOSED } \end{gathered}$ | DIFF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administration | Superintendent <br> Assistant Superintendents <br> Business And Finance <br> Human Resources And Benefit | Districtwide Leadership DW Administrative Support Districtwide Leadership Districtwide Leadership DW Administrative Support Districtwide Leadership DW Administrative Support | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 4.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 4.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| School Leadership | School Leadership | School Leadership Administrative Assistant | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0 \\ & 9.6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0 \\ & 9.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Elementary Instruction | Curriculum Directors (Sup) <br> Department Heads (Non-Sup) <br> Paraprofessionals <br> Substitutes, Long Term <br> Teachers, Classroom | Program Director (Districtwide) <br> Program Administrator <br> Program Administrator <br> Regular Ed EA/Teaching Assistant <br> Substitute <br> Professional Teacher | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.5 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 13.8 \\ 0.0 \\ 64.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.0 \\ -4.0 \\ -1.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.5 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 19.8 \\ -4.0 \\ 63.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| Secondary Instruction | Curriculum Directors (Sup) <br> Paraprofessionals <br> Teachers, Classroom | Program Director (Districtwide) <br> Program Administrator <br> Regular Ed EA/Teaching Assistant <br> Professional Teacher | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ 5.0 \\ 6.2 \\ 111.0 \end{gathered}$ | -1.0 | 6.7 <br> 5.0 <br> 6.2 <br> 110.0 <br> 1.0 |
| Special Education | Curriculum Directors (Sup) <br> Guidance And Adj Counselors <br> Medical/ Therapeutic Serv <br> Paraprofessionals <br> Psychological Services <br> Teachers, Classroom | Districtwide Leadership DW Administrative Support Program Director (Districtwide) Administrative Assistant Program Administrator Professional Teacher Professional Teacher Special Ed Teaching Assistant Professional Teacher Professional Teacher | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ 2.0 \\ \\ 1.9 \\ 5.0 \\ 7.0 \\ 13.6 \\ 62.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 50.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -0.6 1.0 | 10.0 2.0 1.0 1.9 5.0 7.0 13.6 62.1 4.0 50.2 |
| Counseling | Guidance And Adj Counselors | Program Administrator Professional Teacher Administrative Assistant | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 14.1 \\ 1.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 14.1 \\ 1.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| School Health | Medical/Health Services | School Health | 5.6 |  | 5.6 |
| English Learners | Curriculum Directors (Sup) <br> Teachers, Classroom | Program Director (Districtwide) Professional Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 8.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 8.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| It/Library/Media | Other District-Wide Admin Inst Tech Leadership Training Instructional Coaches Librarians/Media Center Dir Networking And Telecomm | ```Technology - Leadership Technology - Instructional Data Instructional Coach Library Teacher Technology - IT, Data Compliance, Network Technology - Support and Maintenance``` | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Athletics | Athletics | Athletics Director <br> Other Staff <br> Administrative Assistant | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 0.6 \\ & 0.4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 0.6 \\ & 0.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Facilities | Custodial Services Maintenance Of Buildings | Custodial <br> Facilities Administrative Support <br> Facilities Professional <br> Maintenance | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.8 \\ 1.4 \\ 2.1 \\ 4.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.8 \\ 1.4 \\ 2.1 \\ 4.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| TOTAL FTE |  |  | 462.6 | 1.4 | 464.0 |


| OFFSET DESCRIPTION | ACCOUNT | ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION | TOTAL FY24 | TOTAL FY25 <br> PROPOSED |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $-\$ 33,732$ |

FY25 SUPERINTENDENT'S PROPOSED BUDGET
SCHOOL REVOLVING AND SPECIAL ACCOUNTS



| $\$$ | 19,324 | $\$$ | $(0)$ | $\$$ | 1,470 | $\$$ | 16,729 | $\$$ | 23,729 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |$\$$



 Intergrated Preschool (1811) July 1 Balance forward Total Revenue Total Expenditure Balance

Mudge Trust (8003) Mudge - Athletic equipment \& fields Mudge - Athletic equipment \& fields July 1 Balance forward Total Revenue Balance

Athletics (1818) July 1 Balance forward Total Revenue
Total Expenditure Balance

School Rental (1820) July 1 Balance forward Total Revenue Total Expenditure Balance

Food Service (0022) July 1 Balance forward Total Revenue Total Expenditure
Balance

| SC POLICY | Maintain Principal balance, use interest for one-time SC <br> identified projects. Interest is spent at the discretion of |
| :--- | :--- |
| SC POLICY | Maintain Principal balance, use interest for SC directed <br> student scholarships |

FY25 SUPERINTENDENT'S PROPOSED BUDGET
SCHOOL REVOLVING AND SPECIAL ACCOUNTS

Boynton Trust (8221)
Total Revenue
Projected Overall Expenditure Balance
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## Supplemental Materials

Historical Budget Increases and FY25 Proposed Increase

The FY21 Operating Budget was supplemented by Covid-19 Economic Releif (CARES) and American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA).

Bedford Public Schools - Enrollment by Grade FY23 Actual, FY24 Actual and Projected, FY25 Projected

$\left.$|  | Actual | Projected | Oct 1 <br> (Uncertified) | Projection <br> Variance | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Year-over-Year |
| :---: |
| Difference | \right\rvert\,

Bedford Public Schools - Enrollment by School FY23 Actual, FY24 Actual and Projected, FY25 Projected

| School | Actual | Projected | October 1 Actual | Projection Variance | Projected | Year-over-Year Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY23 } \\ (2022-23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY24 } \\ (2023-24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY24 } \\ (2023-24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY24 } \\ (2023-24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY25 } \\ (2024-25) \end{gathered}$ | FY25 <br> Projected |
| Preschool at Davis | 44 | 44 | 41 | 3 | 41 | 0 |
| Davis | 470 | 453 | 469 | 16 | 489 | 20 |
| Lane | 587 | 560 | 571 | 11 | 532 | -39 |
| TOTAL ELEMENTARY | 1,057 | 1,013 | 1,040 | 27 | 1,022 | -18 |
| John Glenn Middle School | 597 | 609 | 612 | 3 | 610 | -2 |
| Bedford High School | 841 | 858 | 866 | 8 | 879 | 13 |
| TOTAL SECONDARY | 1,438 | 1,468 | 1,478 | 10 | 1,489 | 11 |
| TOTAL K-12 | 2,495 | 2,481 | 2,518 | 37 | 2,511 | -7 |
| TOTAL PREK-12 | 2,539 | 2,525 | 2,559 | 34 | 2,552 | -7 |
| Out-of-District Students | 38 | 44 | 44 | 0 | 46 | 2 |
| TOTAL ALL STUDENTS | 2,577 | 2,569 | 2,603 | 34 | 2,598 | -5 |
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© New England School Development Council • 508-481-9444 • www.nesdec.org to update enrollment projections every year to identify changes in enrollment patterns. Ten-year projections are designed to provide your District with yearly, up-to-date enrollment information that can be used by boards and administrators for effective planning and allocation of resources.
Included in this report are graphs representing historical and projected grade-by-grade enrollments, as well as historical and projected enrollments in grade combinations. We received the enrollment data from the District, and we assume that the method of collecting this data has been consistent from year to year.
Enrollment projections are more reliable in Years \#1-4 in the future and less reliable in the "out-years." Projections six to ten years out may serve as a guide to future enrollments and are useful for planning purposes. In light of this, NESDEC has added a "Spring Update Refresher" enrollment projection at no cost to affiliates. For more information, please refer to the Methodology, Reliability and Use of this Document section.
The NESDEC enrollment projection fell within 4 students of the K -12 total, 2,514 students projected vs. 2,518 enrolled. One variance of 16 students occurred at Kindergarten, 142 projected vs. 158 enrolled. Another variance occurred in Gr. 1 with 140 students projected and 159 enrolled. Ratios have been adjusted.
Births decreased by 28 from a previous ten-year average of 132 to a projected average of 104 . In most districts, Grades 1-8 are very stable in enrollments. However, there have been increases in 6 of the 8 most recent years, leading to a net increase averaging 16 students per year.
Over the next three years, K-2 enrollments are projected to decrease by 68, Grades 3-5 enrollments are projected to decrease by 69 students, Grades 6-8 enrollments are projected to decrease by 30 students and Grades 9-12 enrollments are projected to decrease by 10 students, as students move through the grades.



| Historical Enrollment in Grade Combinations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> Year | PK-5 | K-5 | PK-2 | K-2 | $\mathbf{3 - 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 - 8}$ | K-8 | $\mathbf{6 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 - 1 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | 1109 | 1075 | 576 | 542 | 533 | 562 | 1472 | 1430 | 868 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | 1139 | 1107 | 599 | 567 | 540 | 502 | 1609 | 1383 | 881 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | 1152 | 1121 | 618 | 587 | 534 | 530 | 1651 | 1389 | 859 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | 1192 | 1164 | 626 | 598 | 566 | 556 | 1720 | 1415 | 859 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | 1249 | 1207 | 640 | 598 | 609 | 586 | 1793 | 1403 | 817 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | 1257 | 1218 | 638 | 599 | 619 | 566 | 1784 | 1401 | 835 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ | 1255 | 1210 | 643 | 598 | 612 | 593 | 1803 | 1434 | 841 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | 1151 | 1128 | 560 | 537 | 591 | 623 | 1751 | 1445 | 822 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ | 1142 | 1096 | 544 | 498 | 598 | 612 | 1708 | 1461 | 849 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 3}$ | 1101 | 1057 | 514 | 470 | 587 | 597 | 1654 | 1438 | 841 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 - 2 4}$ | 1080 | 1039 | 509 | 468 | 571 | 613 | 1491 | 1479 | 866 |



Grades K-12, School Years 2013-14 to 2023-24
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## Bedford, MA - Revised 12/5/23

School District:
Projected Enrollment
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$\rightarrow$ Births $\quad-$ K Enrollment
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Additional Information


| School <br> Year | $\mathbf{9 - 1 2}$ <br> CTE | K-12 <br> Non-Public | K-12 <br> Choice-In | K-12 <br> Choice-Out | K-12 <br> Out-of-District <br> SPED | K-12 <br> Homeschool |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2019-20$ | 23 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 45 | $<10^{* *}$ |
| $2020-21$ | 40 | 139 | 109 | $<10^{* *}$ | 46 | 24 |
| $2021-22$ | 40 | 139 | 21 | $<10^{* *}$ | 44 | 27 |
| $2022-23$ | 45 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $<10^{* *}$ | 49 | 34 |
| $2023-24$ | 37 | 30 | 0 | $<10^{* *}$ | 44 | 24 |

*The above data was provided by the District, with the exception of building permit data (provided by HUD).
" $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ " signifies that information was not provided by District.
$* *<10$ Not reported, to protect subgroups with fewer than 10 students.
© New England School Development Council • 508-481-9444 • www.nesdec.org

From 2021 to 2030, the US Department of Education anticipates changes in PK-12 enrollment of -3.2\% in the South, $-6.0 \%$ in the West, $-3.9 \%$ in the Midwest, $-6.0 \%$ in the Northeast, and a total of $-4.4 \%$ nationwide.

Although most New England Districts are seeing a decline in the number of births, NESDEC's experience indicates that the impact on enrollment varies from District to District. Almost half of New England Districts have been growing in PK-12 enrollment, and a similar number are declining (often in rural areas), with the other Districts remaining stable.

## PROJECTION METHODOLOGY

Cohort component (survival) technique is a frequently used method of preparing enrollment forecasts. NESDEC uses this method, but modifies it in order to move away from forecasts that are wholly computer- or formula-driven. Such modification permits the incorporation of important, current district-specific demographic information into the generation of enrollment forecasts (such as in/out-migration of students, resident births, HUD-reported building permits, etc.). Percentages are calculated from the historical enrollment data to determine a reliable percentage of increase or decrease in enrollment between any two grades. For example, if 100 students enrolled in Grade 1 in $2022-23$ over several recent years.
After study and analysis of the historical ratios, and based upon a reasonable set of assumptions regarding births, migration rates, retention rates, etc., ratios most indicative of future growth patterns are determined for each pair of grades. The ratios thus selected are applied to the present enrollment statistics to project into future years. The ratios are the key factors in the reliability of the projections, assuming validity of the data at the starting point.

## RELIABILITY OF ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS

Projections can serve as useful guides to school administrators for educational planning. Enrollment projections are more reliable in Years \#1-4 in the future and less reliable in the "out-years." Projections six to ten years out may serve as a guide to future enrollments and are useful for planning purposes, but they should be viewed as subject to change given the likelihood of potential shifts in underlying assumptions/trends, such as student migration, births as they relate to Kindergarten enrollment, and other factors.
Projections that are based upon the children who already are in the district (the current K-12 population only) will be the most reliable. The second level of reliability will be for number of births, thereby adding additional uncertainty. See these three multi-colored groupings on the "Projected Enrollment" tab.
Annual updates allow for early identification of recent changes in historical trends. When the actual enrollment in a grade is significantly different (higher or lower) from the all school districts to have updated enrollment forecasts developed by NESDEC each October. This service is available at no cost to affiliated school districts.

## USING THIS INFORMATION ELECTRONICALLY

If you would like to extract the information contained in this report for your own documents or presentations, you can use screenshots, which can be inserted into PowerPoint slides, Word documents, etc. Because screenshots create graphics, the image is not editable. Please feel free to contact us if you need assistance in this matter, by phone (508-481-9444) or by email (ep@nesdec.org).

Bedford Charter 10/3/23

BUS COUNTS
September 2023

| Bus \# | BHS/JGMS | Lane | Davis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 48 | 42 | 32 |
| 2 | 37 | 41 | 26 (no hotel) |
| 3 | 49 | 38 | 23 |
| 4 | 42 | 31 | 45 |
| 5 | 32 | 45 | 24 |
| 6 | 45 | 34 | 31 |
| 7 | 39 | 26 | 34 |
| 8 | 45 | 37 | 29 |
| 9 | 43 | 40 | 31 |
| 10 | 42 | 41 | 69 |
| 11 | 41 | 49 | 29 |
| 12 | 46 | 17 | 20 |
| 13 | 47 | 35 | 32 |
| 14 | 38 |  |  |
| 15 | 49 |  |  |
| 16 | 41 |  |  |

GRAND TOTAL: 1,585 of 2,340 total Bedford riders (68\%)


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Advisory on Special Education Stabilization Fund - Circuit Breaker - School Finance (mass.edu)

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ An Exploration of the Experiences of Substitute Teachers: A Systematic Review" by Andrea Reupert, Anna Sullivan, Neil Tippett, Simone White, Stuart Woodcock, Lingling Chen, and Michele Simons in Review of Educational Research, December 2023 (Vol. 93, \#6, pp. 901-941), as summarized in the Marshall Memo 1015, December 11, 2023.
    ${ }^{3}$ This is the projected net impact of the contract on the operating budget. We anticipate that a portion of these contracted services will be charged to the additional state emergency shelter funds.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ In November 2022, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection regulations banned disposal of food and other organic wastes from businesses and institutions that generate more than one-half ton of these materials per week. In addition, School Food Authorities participating in the School Meal Programs are expected to do their part by committing to reduce food waste in their schools as the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have a National Food Loss and Waste Reduction Goal to reduce, recycle, and recover food waste in half by 2030.
    ${ }^{5} 310$ CMR 19 (mass.gov)

[^3]:    Hunt Scholarship (8223) July 1 Balance forward

    Proiected Overall Expenditure Balance

[^4]:    (C)New England School Development Council • 508-481-9444• www.nesdec.org

